

Reprinted from the AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL MONTHLY  
Vol. 77, No. 1, January, 1970

### CONCERNING THE ITERATED $\phi$ FUNCTION

P. A. CATLIN, Carnegie-Mellon University

Define a set of functions  $\phi^n$  mapping integers greater than 1 into the natural numbers, such that  $\phi^0(a) = a$  and  $\phi^{n+1}(a) = \phi(\phi^n(a))$ , where  $\phi$  is Euler's function. Furthermore, define the class  $C(a)$  of  $a$  to be the integer  $n$  such that  $\phi^n(a) = 2$ , and let  $M$  be the set of natural numbers with the least value of any member in their respective classes. Harold Shapiro made the conjecture in the last paragraph of his article [2] that each element of  $M$  was prime, and W. H. Mills [1] exhibited several composite members of  $M$ . The purpose of this note is to extend their results concerning the factorization of elements of  $M$ .

H. Shapiro gave a theorem (Theorem 15, [2]) which stated essentially that if  $S$  is the set of numbers in class  $n$  that are less than  $2^{n+1}$ , then the factors of an element of  $S$  are in  $S$ . This is also true for odd elements of  $M$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $m$  is an odd element of  $M$ , the factors of  $m$  are in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that an arbitrary factor is in  $M$ . If  $m \in M$  is prime, then the theorem is obvious. Otherwise, if  $m \in M$  is odd, then so are its factors. Let  $m = ab$ . By Theorem 1 of [2],  $C(m) = C(a) + C(b)$ .

If  $b \notin M$  then there must be a lower number  $c \in M$  in the same class as  $b$ . However, by Theorem 1 of [2], we see that  $C(m) = C(a) + C(b) = C(ac)$  where  $ac < m$ , contradicting the definition of  $M$ . Thus,  $b \in M$ , proving the theorem.

As a consequence of Theorem 7 of [2], we can make a similar statement for even members of  $M$ : in this case, the only prime factor of an element of  $M$  is 2, which is in  $M$ . However, 2 is the only known even member of  $M$ .

The following theorem shows that the existence of finitely many primes in  $M$  is equivalent to an apparently weaker condition:

**THEOREM 2.** *There are finitely many primes in  $M$  if and only if there are finitely many odd numbers in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* If there are infinitely many primes in  $M$ , then obviously there are infinitely many odd numbers. Conversely, if an odd prime  $p \in M$  then  $p^\alpha \in M$  for at most a finite number of  $\alpha$ . This follows since  $p^\alpha \in M$  implies

$$2^{\alpha C(p)} = 2^{C(p^\alpha)} < p^\alpha < 2^{\alpha C(p)+1}$$

or

$$2^{C(p)} < p < 2^{C(p)+1/\alpha}$$

which is false for  $\alpha$  sufficiently large. Thus, if  $M$  contains only finitely many odd primes then it contains only finitely many odd prime powers; and by Theorem 1, only finitely many odd integers.

As a corollary, Theorem 2 implies that  $S$  contains infinitely many primes if and only if it contains infinitely many odd numbers. This follows because for any  $m \in M$  there are finitely many  $s \in S$  such that  $m \leq s < 2^{C(s)+1}$ , so if  $M$  contains finitely many primes, so does  $S$ ; the converse follows from  $M \subset S$ .

The questions of whether or not  $M$  and  $S$  contain infinitely many odd integers remain open. In all likelihood the smallest integer of each class is odd.

#### References

1. W. H. Mills, Iteration of the  $\phi$  function, this MONTHLY, 50 (1943) 547-549.
2. Harold Shapiro, An arithmetic function arising from the  $\phi$  function, this MONTHLY, 50 (1943) 18-30.