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#### Abstract

For a connected graph $G$, let $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ be the edge-connectivity of $G$. The $\ell$-edge-connectivity $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$ with order $n \geq \ell$ is the minimum number of edges that are required to be deleted from $G$ to produce a graph with at least $\ell$ components. It has been observed that while both $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ and $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ are related edge connectivity measures. In general, $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ cannot be upper bounded by a function of $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$. Let $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=\max \left\{\kappa^{\prime}(H): H \subseteq G\right\}$ be the maximum subgraph edge-connectivity of $G$. We prove that for integers $k^{\prime}, k$ and $\ell$ with $k^{\prime} \geq k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$, each of the following holds.


(i) $\sup \left\{\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G): \kappa^{\prime}(G)=k, \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}\right\}=k+(\ell-2) k^{\prime}$.
(ii) $\inf \left\{\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G): \kappa^{\prime}(G)=k, \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}\right\}=\frac{k \ell}{2}$.
© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V.

## 1. The problem

Graphs in this paper are finite and loopless. Undefined terms and notations can be found in [2]. For a graph $G, c(G)$ denotes the number of components of $G$. We write $H \subseteq G$ to mean $H$ is a subgraph of $G$. For vertex subsets $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ of a graph $G$, define

$$
\left[S, S^{\prime}\right]_{G}=\left\{u v \in E(G): u \in S, \& v \in S^{\prime}\right\}
$$

When $G$ is understood from the context, we often use $\left[S, S^{\prime}\right]$ for $\left[S, S^{\prime}\right]_{G}$. Define $\partial_{G}(S)=[S, V(G)-S]$, and when $S=\{v\}$, we often write $\partial_{G}(v)$ for $\partial_{G}(\{v\})$. If $H$ is a non-empty non-spanning subgraph of $G$, we often use $\partial_{G}(H)$ for $\partial_{G}(V(H)$ ). An edge-cut of a (not necessarily connected) graph $G$ is an edge subset of the form $\partial_{G}(S)$, for some nonempty proper subset $S$ of $V(G)$. A minimal edge-cut of $G$ is called a bond. The edge-connectivity $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ of a connected graph $G$ is the minimum cardinality of an edge-cut of $G$.

Matula [8] initiated the study of edge-connectivity of subgraphs. He defined

$$
\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=\max _{H \subset G} \kappa^{\prime}(H),
$$

and considered $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)$ as a useful tool to investigate the cohesiveness of a network when modeled as a graph. Matula published a number of papers on the cohesiveness of networks, as seen in [8-10]. The extremal properties related to $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)$ were investigated by Mader and others, which can be found in [5-7], among others. More generally, let $f(G)$ denote

[^0]a density measure of $G$, one can define $\bar{f}(G)=\max \{f(H): H$ is a subgraph of $G$ \}. As indicated in [4], for certain network topology measures $f$, a network modeled as a graph $G$ with $f(G)=\bar{f}(G)$ is considered as uniformly dense in the measure $f$, and is of particular interest to be investigated. Thus we define a graph $G$ to be edge-uniformly dense if $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)$.

For an integer $\ell \geq 2$, Boesch and Chen [1] defined the $\ell$-edge-connectivity $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ of a connected graph $G$ of order $n \geq \ell$ to be the minimum number of edges that are required to be deleted from $G$ to produce a graph with at least $\ell$ components. As when $\ell=2, \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(G)=\kappa^{\prime}(G)$, the edge-connectivity of $G$, the notion $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ is often considered as a generalization of edge-connectivity. Boesch and Chen proved the following.

Theorem 1.1 (Boesch and Chen [1]). $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(K_{n}\right)=\frac{(\ell-1)(2 n-\ell)}{2}$.
There have been quite a few studies on the behavior of $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$, as seen in Oellermann's survey [11]. The following example indicates that, in general, $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ cannot be bounded above by a function of $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$.

Example 1.2. Let $k, \ell, n$ be positive integers with $n \geq k+\ell \geq k+2$, and let $G:=G(n, \ell, k)$ be a graph obtained from the complete graph $K_{n-1}$ by adding a new vertex $v_{0} \notin V\left(K_{n-1}\right)$ and by adding $k$ new edges joining $v_{0}$ to $k$ distinct vertices in $K_{n-1}$. As $n \geq k+\ell$, it is routine to verify that $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$. Suppose that $X \subseteq E(G)$ is an edge subset such that $G-X$ has $\ell$ components $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{\ell}$ with $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=|X|$. By symmetry, we assume that $v_{0} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$. If $V\left(G_{1}\right)=v_{0}$, then $\partial_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \subseteq X$ and $X^{\prime}:=X-\partial_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)$ is an edge subset of $K_{n-1}$ with $\left|X^{\prime}\right| \geq \kappa_{\ell-1}^{\prime}\left(K_{n-1}\right)$. In this case, by Theorem 1.1,

$$
|X|=\left|\partial_{G}\left(v_{0}\right)\right|+\left|X^{\prime}\right| \geq k+\frac{(\ell-2)(2 n-\ell+1)}{2}
$$

If $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \geq 2$, then we may assume that $\partial_{G}\left(v_{0}\right) \cap X=\emptyset$, and so $X \subseteq E\left(K_{n-1}\right)$ with $|X| \geq \kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(K_{n-1}\right)$. Thus by Theorem 1.1,

$$
\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=|X| \geq \min \left\{k+\frac{(\ell-2)(2 n-\ell+1)}{2}, \frac{(\ell-1)(2 n-\ell-2)}{2}\right\}
$$

Since the number $n$ can be arbitrarily large, we conclude that $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ cannot be bounded by a function of $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$.
As uniformly dense networks are of application importance (see [4]), it is of interest to study whether $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$ can be bounded by a function of $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ among all edge uniformly dense graphs. To investigate this problem, for given integers $\ell$ and $k$, and a family $\mathcal{F}$ of connected graphs with order at least $\ell$, we define

$$
\Phi(\ell, k ; \mathcal{F})=\sup \left\{\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G): G \in \mathcal{F}, \kappa^{\prime}(G)=k\right\} \text { and } \phi(\ell, k ; \mathcal{F})=\inf \left\{\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G): G \in \mathcal{F}, \kappa^{\prime}(G)=k\right\}
$$

The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem 1.3. Let $\ell$ and $k$ be integers with $\ell>1$ and $k \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{G}^{u}$ be the family of all edge-uniformly dense graphs of order at least $\ell$. Each of the following holds.
(i) $\Phi\left(\ell, k ; \mathcal{G}^{u}\right)=(\ell-1) k$.
(ii) $\phi\left(\ell, k ; \mathcal{G}^{u}\right)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we take a slightly more general approach to relax the edge-uniformly dense constraint by allowing bounded value of maximum subgraph edge-connectivity. Let $\ell, k, k^{\prime}$ be integers with $\ell \geq 2$ and $k^{\prime}>k \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k^{\prime}}$ denote the family of all connected graphs with order at least $\ell$ such that every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_{k^{\prime}}$ satisfies $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$. As when $k=k^{\prime}$, we have $\mathcal{G}_{k}=\mathcal{G}^{u}$, Theorem 1.3 will be the special case of Theorem 1.4 when $k=k^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $\ell$ and $k$ be integers with $\ell \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$, and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ be the family of all connected graphs of order at least $\ell$. Each of the following holds.
(i) $\Phi\left(\ell, k ; \mathcal{G}_{k^{\prime}}\right)=k+(\ell-2) k^{\prime}$.
(ii) $\phi\left(\ell, k ; \mathcal{G}_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$.

## 2. Proofs of the main results

A graph $G$ is a trivial graph if it has at least one vertex and is edgeless. Throughout the rest of this paper, we let $k^{\prime}, k, \ell$ be integers with $k^{\prime} \geq k>0$ and $\ell \geq 2$. While it is known that $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(G)$, we will continue using $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ instead of $\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(G)$ in our discussions.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then for any subgraph $H$ of $G$ with $|V(H)| \geq \ell$, we have $(\ell-2) \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)+\kappa^{\prime}(H) \geq \kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(H)$.
Proof. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ with $|V(H)| \geq \ell$. Pick a minimum edge-cut $Z_{1}$ of $H$. Assuming that for a fixed $j$ with $1 \leq j<\ell-1, Z_{j}$ has been found. Then as $|V(H)| \geq \ell$, at least one component of $H-\left(\cup_{i=1}^{j} Z_{i}\right)$ is nontrivial. Fix one nontrivial component of $H-\left(\cup_{i=1}^{j} Z_{i}\right)$ and let $Z_{j+1}$ be a minimum edge-cut of this nontrivial component. Thus we have generated a sequence $\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{\ell-1}\right)$ of subsets of $H$, such that $\left|Z_{1}\right|=\kappa^{\prime}(H)$ and for each $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq \ell-1,\left|Z_{i}\right| \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)$. Furthermore, by our choices of the $Z_{i}^{\prime}$ s, $H-\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell-1} Z_{i}\right)$ has exactly $\ell$ components. Thus $(\ell-2) \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)+\kappa^{\prime}(H) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell=1}\left|Z_{i}\right| \geq$ $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(H)$, which leads to Lemma 2.1.

Proposition 2.2 (Zhang et al. Theorem 2.5 of [12]). Suppose that $G$ is a connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq \ell$. Then

$$
\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G) \geq \frac{\ell}{2} \kappa^{\prime}(G)
$$

Proof. Let $X$ be an edge subset of $E(G)$ such that $G-X$ has components $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{\ell}$ with $|X|=\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)$. Thus for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \ell,\left|\partial_{G}\left(V\left(G_{i}\right)\right)\right| \geq \kappa^{\prime}(G)$ and $2 \kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=2|X|=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left|\partial_{G}\left(V\left(G_{i}\right)\right)\right| \geq \ell \kappa^{\prime}(G)$. This proves the proposition.

By combining Lemma 2.1 (with $H=G$ ) and Proposition 2.2, we conclude that for any connected graph $G$ with $|V(G)| \geq \ell$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\ell-2) \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)+\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq \kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G) \geq \frac{\ell}{2} \kappa^{\prime}(G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.4(i)

To prove Theorem 1.4(i), we shall show, for given integers $k^{\prime} \geq k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$, the existence of infinitely many graphs $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$, and $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}$ such that the upper bound in (1) will be reached. In this subsection, we shall construct an infinite family of graphs satisfying the expected edge-connectivity constraints and reaching the upper bound in (1), which implies Theorem 1.4(i). The following is the main result.

Proposition 2.3. For any integers $k^{\prime}, k, \ell$ with $\ell \geq k^{\prime}+1>2$ and $k^{\prime} \geq k$, there exists an infinite graph family $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\mathcal{F}_{1}\left(\ell, k^{\prime}, k\right)$ such that for any graph $H \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$, each of the following holds.
(i) (Example 1 and Theorem 1 of [5]) If $k^{\prime}=k$, then $H$ is edge-uniformly dense with $\kappa^{\prime}(H)=k$.
(ii) $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H)=k^{\prime}$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(H)=k$.
(iii) $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(H)=(\ell-2) \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H)+\kappa^{\prime}(H)$.

Proof. We are to construct this family of graphs to justify Proposition 2.3. For an integer $m \geq 1$, define $m G$ to be the disjoint union of $m$ copies of $G$. Hence $1 G=G$. Following [2], for two vertex disjoint graphs $G, G^{\prime}$, let $G \vee G^{\prime}$ denote the join of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$, which is a graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E\left(G^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{u v: u \in V(G), v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Extending a graph construction idea in [5], we construct the following graph family. For any integers $k^{\prime}, k$ and $n$ with $n>k+1$, let $H_{0} \cong K_{k^{\prime}}$ be a complete graph with vertex set $V\left(H_{0}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k^{\prime}}\right\}$ and let the vertex set of $(n-k) K_{1}$ be $W:=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-k}\right\}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(k^{\prime} ; n-k\right)=\left(H_{0} \vee(n-k) K_{1}\right)-\left\{w_{1} v_{j}: k+1 \leq j \leq k^{\prime}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k^{\prime}=k, H\left(k^{\prime} ; n-k\right)$ is precisely the same graph $H(k, n-k)$ constructed in [5]. We are to prove (ii) and (iii) of the proposition. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}=\max \left\{2 \ell+k, \ell+2 k^{\prime}+k, 5 \ell+k-7\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{F}_{1}=\left\{H\left(k^{\prime} ; n-k\right): k^{\prime} \geq k, \ell \geq k+1>2, n \geq N_{0}\right\}$. To prove (ii), we assume that $k^{\prime}>k$ as otherwise we may turn to (i). Randomly pick a member $H \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{1}, \partial_{H}\left(w_{1}\right)$ is the only edge cut in $H$ of size $k$, and so $\kappa^{\prime}(H)=k$. Now let $H^{\prime}$ be a subgraph of $H$ with $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H)=\kappa^{\prime}\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. If $\kappa^{\prime}\left(H^{\prime}\right)>k^{\prime}$, then as every vertex in $W$ has degree at most $k^{\prime}$ in $H$, we conclude that $V\left(H^{\prime}\right) \cap W=\emptyset$. Hence $H^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $H_{0}$, a complete graph of order $k^{\prime}$. This implies that $k^{\prime}<\kappa^{\prime}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leq k^{\prime}-1$, a contradiction. This implies that $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H)=\kappa^{\prime}\left(H^{\prime}\right) \leq k^{\prime}$. On the other hand, $H$ contains $K_{k^{\prime}+1}$ as a subgraph, and so $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H) \geq \kappa^{\prime}\left(K_{k^{\prime}+1}\right) \geq k^{\prime}$, implying that $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H)=k^{\prime}$. This proves (ii).

It remains to prove (iii). Let $X \subseteq E(H)$ be an edge subset such that $H-X$ has at least $\ell$ components and that $|X|=\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(H)$. By (1), it suffices to show that

$$
|X| \geq(\ell-2) k^{\prime}+k
$$

As $|X|=\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(H), H-X$ must have exactly $\ell$ components $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{\ell}$. Since $\ell \geq k^{\prime}+1$ and $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|=k^{\prime}$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $V\left(H_{1}\right) \cap V\left(H_{0}\right)=\emptyset$. This implies that $V\left(H_{1}\right) \subseteq W$ and $\left|V\left(H_{1}\right)\right|=1$. Therefore, there must be at least one of $H_{i}$ 's that consists of only one vertex in $W$. Without loss of generality, we assume that for some integer $s$ with $1 \leq s \leq \ell$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { every } H_{j} \text { with } s \leq j \leq \ell \text { consists of a single vertex in } W \text {, } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $H_{j}$ 's $(s \leq j \leq \ell)$ are so labeled that

$$
\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{s}\right)\right)\right| \geq\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{s+1}\right)\right)\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{\ell}\right)\right)\right|,
$$

and that every $H_{j^{\prime}}$ with $1 \leq j^{\prime} \leq s-1$ satisfies $V\left(H_{j^{\prime}}\right) \cap V\left(H_{0}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Depending on whether an $H_{i}$ contains a vertex in $W$ or not, we further partitioned $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s-1}$ into two parts and assume that there exists an integer $s^{\prime}<s$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for any } H_{t} \in\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s^{\prime}-1}\right\}, V\left(H_{t}\right) \cap W=\emptyset \text {, } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for any } H_{t} \in\left\{H_{s^{\prime}}, \ldots, H_{s-1}\right\}, V\left(H_{t}\right) \cap W \neq \emptyset \text {. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for any $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq \ell, \partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right) \subseteq X$. By (2) and (4), we conclude that for any $H_{j}$ with $s \leq j \leq \ell$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leq\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{\ell}\right)\right)\right| \leq k^{\prime}=\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right|, \text { where } s \leq j \leq \ell-1 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5), for any $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq s^{\prime}-1, H_{j}$ is a subgraph of $H_{0} \cong K_{k^{\prime}}$. By (2), every vertex in this $H_{j}$ must be adjacent to every vertex in $W$, and so we conclude that for any $H_{j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq s^{\prime}-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\left[V\left(H_{j}\right), W\right]_{H}\right|+\left|\partial_{H_{0}}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right|=(n-k)\left|V\left(H_{j}\right)\right|+\left|\partial_{H_{0}}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right| . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix an index $j$ with $s^{\prime} \leq j \leq s-1$, let $W_{j}=W \cap V\left(H_{j}\right)$, and $H_{j}^{\prime}=H_{j}-W_{j}$. Thus $H_{j}^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $H_{0} \cong K_{k^{\prime}}$. By (2), we have, for any $H_{j}$ with $s^{\prime} \leq j \leq s-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\left[W_{j}, V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{H}\right|+\left|\partial_{H_{0}}\left(V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|+\left|\left[V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right), W-W_{j}\right]_{H}\right| . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $X$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\bigcup_{j=s}^{\ell} \partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right),  \tag{10}\\
& X_{2}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left[W_{j}, V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{H} \text { and } X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1} \partial_{H_{0}}\left(V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
& X_{3}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{s^{\prime}-1}\left[V\left(H_{j}\right), W\right]_{H} \text { and } X_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{s^{\prime}-1} \partial_{H_{0}}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right), \\
& X^{\prime \prime}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \cup X_{3}^{\prime \prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}^{\prime} \cup X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \cup X_{3}^{\prime} \cup X_{3}^{\prime \prime}$. Note that some of these sets defined in (10) could be empty. Recall that $\ell \geq s \geq 2$. If $s=2$, then $H_{1}=H_{0} \cong K_{k}$ and so $X_{3}^{\prime}=\left[V\left(H_{1}\right), W\right]_{H}=\partial_{H}\left(H_{1}\right), X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime}=X_{3}^{\prime \prime}=\emptyset$. Thus $X=X_{1}=X_{3}^{\prime}$, and so by (7), $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(H)=|X| \geq(\ell-2) k^{\prime}+k$. This, together with (1), implies (iii). Hence in the following we always assume that $s>2$.

By their definitions in (10), $X_{1}, X_{2}^{\prime}$, and $X^{\prime \prime}$ are mutually edge-disjoint, and each of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}^{\prime}$ is an edge-disjoint union, and $X_{3}^{\prime} \subseteq X_{1} \cup X_{2}^{\prime}$, whereas $X^{\prime \prime}$ is an edge subset of $H_{0} \cong K_{k^{\prime}}$ such that $H_{0}-X^{\prime \prime}$ has $s-1$ components. This gives us a way to apply (7), (8), (9) and Theorem 1.1 to estimate $X$, as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
|X| & =\left|X_{1}\right|+\left|X_{2}^{\prime}\right|+\left|X^{\prime \prime}\right|  \tag{11}\\
& \geq \sum_{j=s}^{\ell}\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{j}\right)\right)\right|+\sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|\left[W_{j}, V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{H}\right|+\kappa_{s-1}^{\prime}\left(K_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right| \cdot\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon= \begin{cases}k^{\prime}-k & \text { if }\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{\ell}\right)\right)\right|=k^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if }\left|\partial_{H}\left(V\left(H_{\ell}\right)\right)\right|=k .\end{cases}
$$

Let $n^{\prime}=\sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right|$. Then by (2), $n^{\prime}=(n-k)-(\ell-s+1)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\left|V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq\left|V\left(H_{s^{\prime}+1}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|V\left(H_{s-1}^{\prime}\right)\right| .
$$

Suppose first that $\left|V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}$. Then for any $j$ with $s^{\prime} \leq j \leq s-1$, we have $\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|=k^{\prime}-\left|V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq \frac{k^{\prime}}{2}$. By (3), we have $n \geq 2 \ell+k$. This, together with $\ell \geq s>2$, implies that $n^{\prime}=n-k-\ell+s-1 \geq 2 s-2$. Hence by (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|X| & \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right| \cdot\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2} \sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right|+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& =(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{k^{\prime} n^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{k^{\prime}(2 s-2)}{2}+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& >(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+k^{\prime}(s-1)+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2}>(\ell-2) k^{\prime}+k
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence in the following, we may assume that $\left|V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}$.
Case 2.4. $\left|W_{s^{\prime}}\right| \geq \frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$.
By (2), every vertex in $W_{s^{\prime}}$ is adjacent to every vertex in $V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}\right)$. As $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{s^{\prime}-1}, H_{s^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, H_{s-1}$ are vertex disjoint subgraphs of $H_{0}$, it follows that

$$
\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}\right)\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{s^{\prime}-1}\left|V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\sum_{j=s^{\prime}+1}^{s-1}\left|V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq s-2
$$

By (11) and by (3), we have $n \geq 2 k^{\prime}+k+\ell$, and so $n^{\prime} \geq 2 k^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|X| & \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right| \cdot\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\left|W_{s^{\prime}}\right| \cdot\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}\right)\right|+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}(s-2)+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& =(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{2 k^{\prime}}{2}(s-2)+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& >(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+(s-2) k^{\prime} \geq(\ell-2) k^{\prime}+k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2.5. $\left|W_{s^{\prime}}\right|<\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$.
Since $\left|V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|>\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}$, it follows that for any $j$ with $s^{\prime}+1 \leq j \leq s-1,\left|V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=s^{\prime}+1}^{s-1}\left|V\left(H_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)\right|-\left|V\left(H_{s^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right|<\frac{k^{\prime}}{2}$. As $\left|W_{s^{\prime}}\right|<\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$, we have $\sum_{j=s^{\prime}+1}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right|=n^{\prime}-\left|W_{s^{\prime}}\right|>\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$. By (3), $n \geq 5 \ell+k-7$ and so $n^{\prime}=n-k-\ell+s-1 \geq 4 \ell-8 \geq 4(s-2)$. Thus by (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|X| & \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\sum_{j=s^{\prime}}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right| \cdot\left|V\left(H_{0}\right)-V\left(H_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|+\frac{(s-2)\left(2 k^{\prime}-s+1\right)}{2} \\
& >(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{k^{\prime}}{2} \sum_{j=s^{\prime}+1}^{s-1}\left|W_{j}\right|=(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{k^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}-\left|W_{s^{\prime}}\right|\right)}{2} \\
& >(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+\epsilon+\frac{k n^{\prime}}{4} \geq(\ell-s) k^{\prime}+k+k^{\prime}(s-2)=(\ell-2) k^{\prime}+k
\end{aligned}
$$

As we always have $|X| \geq(\ell-2) k^{\prime}+k$, by (1), we prove Proposition 2.3.

### 2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4(ii)

To prove Theorem 1.4(ii), we shall show, for given integers $k^{\prime} \geq k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$, the existence of infinitely many graphs $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$, and $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}$ such that the lower bound in (1) will be reached. Following [3], we introduce circulant graphs and some definitions for constructing graphs to be used in our arguments.

Definition 2.6. Let $\ell, n$ be integers with $\ell \geq 2$ and $n>1$ and denote the additive cyclic group as $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. (i) Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n}-\{0\}$ be a subset such that for an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}, a \in S$ if and only if $-a \in S$, where $-a$ is the additive inverse of $a$. Define the circulant graph $C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, S\right)$ to be the graph with vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, where $i j \in C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, S\right)$ if and only if $i-j \in S$. The set $S$ is called its connection set. Using the definition of Cayley graphs in [3], circulant graphs are Cayley graphs.
(ii) Let $G$ and $J$ be vertex disjoint graphs and let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex which is adjacent to (not necessarily distinct) vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{d}$ with edges $e_{i}=v v_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq d$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}$ be (not necessarily distinct) vertices in $J$. Define a graph $G(v ; J)$ from the disjoint union of $(G-v) \cup J$ by adding edges $\left\{u_{i} v_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq d\right\}$. As the choices of $u_{i}$ 's and $v_{j}$ 's are not unique, $G(v ; J)$ represents a family of graphs. For simplicity, we shall use $G(v ; J)$ to denote any graph in the family, and say that we blow up the vertex $v$ to the graph $J$.
(iii) Let $G$ be a graph with distinct vertices $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\ell}$, and let $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}$ be mutually vertex disjoint graphs, each of which is also disjoint from $G$. Let $G\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\ell} ; J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}\right)$ denote the family of graphs obtained by, for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, blowing up the vertex $z_{i}$ to the graph $J_{i}$. When there is no need to emphasize the vertices $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\ell}$, we often use $G\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}\right)$ for $G\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{\ell} ; J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}\right)$. For notational simplicity, we shall use $G\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}\right)$ to denote any member in the family.

To prove Theorem 1.4(ii), we need a few more tools for the construction of the needed graph families. A graph $G$ is vertex transitive if the automorphism group of $G$ acts transitively on $V(G)$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Each of the following holds.
(i) (Theorem 3.1.2, [3]) Cayley graphs are vertex transitive. As circulant graphs are Cayley graphs, all circulant graphs are vertex transitive.
(ii) (Lemma 3.3.3, [3]) If $G$ is vertex transitive, then $G$ is a regular graph with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=\delta(G)$.
(iii) Every vertex transitive graph is edge-uniformly dense.
(iv) Suppose that $G$ and $J$ are two vertex disjoint edge-uniformly dense graphs with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=\kappa^{\prime}(J)=k$. Then $G(v$; $J$ ) is also edge-uniformly dense with $\kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=k$.
(v) Suppose that $G, J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}$ are mutually vertex disjoint edge-uniformly dense graphs with $V(G)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ such that $n \geq \ell$, and let $j$ be an integer with $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. If $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=\kappa^{\prime}\left(J_{1}\right)=\cdots=\kappa^{\prime}\left(J_{j}\right)=k$, then $G\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{j} ; J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{j}\right)$ is also edge-uniformly dense with $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{j} ; J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{j}\right)\right)=k$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a vertex transitive graph with $d=\kappa^{\prime}(G)$. Then $G$ is $d$-regular. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgraph with $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=\kappa^{\prime}(H)$. If $H=G$, then $G$ is edge-uniformly dense. Assume that $H$ is a proper subgraph of $G$. Since $G$ is connected and $d$-regular, $\kappa^{\prime}(H) \leq \delta(H)<d$, and so $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=\kappa^{\prime}(H)<d=\kappa^{\prime}(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $G$ must be edge-uniformly dense. This justifies (iii).

Now suppose that $G$ and $J$ are edge-uniformly dense with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=\kappa^{\prime}(J)=k$, and let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex. First we explain that $\kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(G)$. Observe that $\kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J)) \leq \kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J) / J)=\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$. Thus to show $\kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(G)$, it suffices to show that every edge cut of $G(v ; J)$ has size at least $k$. Let $X$ be a minimal edge cut of $G(v ; J)$. If $X \cap E(J)=\emptyset$, then $X \subseteq E(G)$ is an edge cut of $G$, whence $|X| \geq k$. Now assume that $X \cap E(J) \neq \emptyset$. Since $X$ is minimal, $X \cap E(J)$ must be an edge cut of $J$, and so $|X| \geq|X \cap E(J)| \geq \kappa^{\prime}(J)=k$. Thus we must have $\kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$.

Next, we shall show that $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$. Suppose that $H$ is a subgraph of $G(v ; J)$ with $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(H)$. If $E(H) \cap E(J)=\emptyset$, then $H$ is a subgraph of $G(v ; J) / J=G$. As $G$ is edge-uniformly dense, we have $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(H) \leq$ $\kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J) / J)=\kappa^{\prime}(G)$. Thus we may assume that $E(H) \cap E(J) \neq \emptyset$. Let $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}$ be the connected components of the edge induced subgraph $J[E(H) \cap E(J)]$.

If $\ell \geq 2$, then add a set $W$ of new edges that connects the connected components $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}$ so that, in the graph $H+W$ obtained by adding the edges in $W$ to $H,(H+W)\left[W \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} E\left(J_{i}\right)\right)\right]$ is a connected graph. (If $\ell=1$, then let $W=\emptyset$.) As we are adding edges to $H$, we have $\kappa^{\prime}(H+W) \geq \kappa^{\prime}(H)$. By definition, we have $(H+W) /\left[W \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} E\left(J_{i}\right)\right)\right]=(H \cup J) / J$, which is a subgraph of $G(v ; J) / J=G$. It follows that

$$
k=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G) \geq \kappa^{\prime}((H \cup J) / J) \geq \kappa^{\prime}\left((H+W) /\left[W \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} E\left(J_{i}\right)\right)\right]\right) \geq \kappa^{\prime}(H+W) \geq \kappa^{\prime}(H)
$$

Since $\kappa^{\prime}(H)=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J)) \geq \kappa^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$, we conclude that we always have $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$. This proves (iv).

The conclusion (v) follows from Definition 2.6 and Lemma 2.7(iv), arguing by induction on $j$.
Lemma 2.8. Suppose that $h$ and $k$ are two integers with $h>k>0$, and $G$ and $J$ are two vertex disjoint graphs with $k=\kappa^{\prime}(G) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G) \leq h$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(J)=h$. Then each of the following holds.
(i) $G(v ; J)$ satisfies with $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J)) \geq h$.
(ii) If $J$ is uniformly dense, then $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=h$.

Proof. By Definition 2.6, $J$ is a subgraph of $G(v ; J)$ and so $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J)) \geq \kappa^{\prime}(J)=h$. Hence (i) holds. It suffices to show (ii). Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G(v ; J)$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(H)=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))$. As $\kappa^{\prime}(J)=h$, we may assume that $H \neq J$. Assume first that $E(H) \cap E(J)=\emptyset$. Then by Definition 2.6,H is a subgraph of $G(v ; J) / J=G$. Hence

$$
h=\kappa^{\prime}(J) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(H) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G) \leq h,
$$

forcing $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=h$. Hence we assume that $E(H) \cap E(J) \neq \emptyset$. Let $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}$ denote the connected components of $H[E(H) \cap E(J)]$, the edge induced subgraph in $H$. Let $W$ be a set of new edges such that in the graph $H+W$ obtained by adding the edges in $W$ to $H,(H+W)\left[W \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} E\left(J_{i}\right)\right)\right]$ is a connected graph. Again we have

$$
\kappa^{\prime}(H) \leq \kappa^{\prime}(H+W) \leq \kappa^{\prime}\left((H+W) /\left[W \cup\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} E\left(J_{i}\right)\right)\right]\right)=\kappa^{\prime}((H \cup J) / J)
$$

As $(H \cup J) / J$ is a subgraph of $G(v ; J) / J=G$, it follows that

$$
h=\kappa^{\prime}(J) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=\kappa^{\prime}(H) \leq \kappa^{\prime}((H \cup J) / J) \leq \bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G) \leq h,
$$

and so we also have $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G(v ; J))=h$. This proves (ii).

Let $\ell, k$ and $k^{\prime}$ be integers with $\ell \geq 3$ and $k^{\prime} \geq k \geq 2$, we are to construct a graph family $\mathcal{G}\left(\ell, k^{\prime}, k\right)$ with some of the desirable properties to facilitate our justification for Theorem 1.4(ii).

Example 2.9. Suppose that $\ell$ and $k$ are given integers such that for some integer $s>1, \ell=(k+1) s$. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ be the subset $S=\{s, 2 s, \ldots,(k-1) s, k s\}$. Then as $\ell=(k+1) s$, for any $a \in S$, we also have $-a \in S$. Thus $G=C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, S\right)$ satisfies the following properties.
(i) $G$ is $k$-regular with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$.
(ii) $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$.

Proof. By Definition 2.6, the degree of vertex in $G$ is equal to $|S|=k$. By Lemma 2.7(ii), $G$ is a $k$-regular graph with $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$. It remains to show (ii). Since $|V(G)|=\ell$, it follows that $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=|E(G)|=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{G}(v)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$.

Lemma 2.10 (Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 of [5]). Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. For any integer $n \geq k+1$, there exist edge-uniformly dense graphs $H$ with $|V(H)|=n$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(H)=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(H)=k$.

Proposition 2.11. Suppose that $\ell, k^{\prime}$ and $k$ are given integers with $k^{\prime} \geq k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$. There exists an infinite family $\mathcal{G}\left(\ell, k^{\prime}, k\right)$ of graphs such that for any $H \in \mathcal{G}\left(\ell, k^{\prime}, k\right)$, we have the following properties.
(i) $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$ and $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}$.
(ii) $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\ell, k^{\prime}$ and $k$ are given with the indicated relations. By Example 2.9 , there exists a graph $C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, S\right)$ such that it satisfies Example 2.9(i) and (ii) with

$$
V\left(C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, S\right)\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{\ell}\right\}
$$

Pick an integer $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. By Lemma 2.10, there exist edge-uniformly dense graphs $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{j}$ such that $\kappa^{\prime}\left(J_{i}\right)=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}\left(J_{i}\right)=k$, for each $i$ with $\overline{1} \leq i \leq j$; and edge-uniformly dense graphs $J_{j+1}, J_{j+2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}$ such that $\kappa^{\prime}\left(J_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}\left(J_{i^{\prime}}\right)=k^{\prime}$, for each $i^{\prime}$ with $j+1 \leq i^{\prime} \leq \ell$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, S\right)\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{j} ; J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{j}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in Definition 2.6(iii). By Lemma 2.7(v), $G_{1}$ is edge-uniformly dense with $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)=k$. We can view $u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_{\ell}$ as vertices in $G_{1}$. Using the notation in Definition 2.6, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=G_{1}\left(u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_{\ell} ; J_{j+1}, J_{j+2}, \ldots, J_{\ell}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.8 and arguing by induction on $\ell-j$, we conclude that $\kappa^{\prime}(G)=k$ and $\bar{\kappa}^{\prime}(G)=k^{\prime}$, and so $G$ satisfies Proposition 2.11(i).

We shall show that $G$ satisfies Proposition 2.11(ii). By Definition 2.6(iii), (12) and (13), we have

$$
G /\left(J_{1} \cup J_{2} \cup \cdots \cup J_{\ell}\right)=C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, S\right)
$$

Thus by Example 2.9(ii), $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G) \leq \kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}\left(C\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, S\right)\right)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$. By (1), we much have $\kappa_{\ell}^{\prime}(G)=\frac{k \ell}{2}$, which implies Proposition 2.11(ii).
Let $\mathcal{G}\left(\ell, k^{\prime}, k\right)$ denote the graph family of graphs $G$ constructed in the steps above. Then every graph $G \in \mathcal{G}\left(\ell, k^{\prime}, k\right)$ satisfies Proposition 2.11(i) and (ii). Hence Proposition 2.11 follows.

By (1) and Proposition 2.11, we conclude that Theorem 1.4(ii) must hold. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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