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## 1 Introduction

We consider finite binary matroids in this note. Undefined terms and notations can be found in [1]. Thus we use $r_{M}, c l_{M}, \mathscr{I}(M), \mathscr{B}(M)$ and $\mathscr{C}(M)$ to denote the rank function, the closure operator, the collections of independent sets, bases and circuits of a matroid $M$, respectively. If $X \subseteq E$, then $M / X$ and $M \mid X$ denotes the matroid contractions, matroid restrictions, respectively. Define $M-X=M \mid(E-X)$. A cycle of a matroid is a disjoint union of circuits of $M$, and we use $\mathscr{C}_{0}(M)$ to denote the collection of all cycles of $M$. For sets $X$ and $Y$, the symmetric difference of $X$ and $Y$ is defined as $X \triangle Y=(X \cup Y)-(X \cap Y)$. It is known (for example, Theorem 9.1.2 of [1],) that $\mathscr{C}_{0}(M)$ with the symmetric difference is a vector space over $G F(2)$, the 2 -element field.

Let $M_{1}=\left(E_{1}, \mathscr{I}_{1}\right)$ and $M_{2}=\left(E_{2}, \mathscr{I}_{2}\right)$ be binary matroids and $E=E_{1} \triangle E_{2}$. Seymour in [2] showed that there is a matroid $M_{1} \triangle M_{2}$ with ground set $E$ with $\mathscr{C}_{0}(M)=\mathscr{C}_{0}\left(M_{1}\right) \triangle \mathscr{C}_{0}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Three special cases of $M_{1} \triangle M_{2}$ are introduced by Seymour ( [2] and [3]) as follows.
(S1) If $E_{1} \cap E_{2}=\emptyset$ and $\left|E_{1}\right|,\left|E_{2}\right|<\left|E_{1} \triangle E_{2}\right|, M_{1} \triangle M_{2}$ is a $\mathbf{1}$-sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, denoted by $M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$. (S2) If $\left|E_{1} \cap E_{2}\right|=1$ and $E_{1} \cap E_{2}=\{p\}$, say, and $p$ is not a loop or coloop of $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$, and $\left|E_{1}\right|,\left|E_{2}\right|<\left|E_{1} \triangle E_{2}\right|$,
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Fig. $1 M$ is a 3-sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$.
$M_{1} \triangle M_{2}$ is a 2-sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, denoted by $M_{1} \oplus_{2} M_{2}$.
(S3) If $\left|E_{1} \cap E_{2}\right|=3$ and $E_{1} \cap E_{2}=Z$, and $Z$ is a circuit of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, and $Z$ includes no cocircuit of either $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$, and $\left|E_{1}\right|,\left|E_{2}\right|<\left|E_{1} \triangle E_{2}\right|, M_{1} \triangle M_{2}$ is a $\mathbf{3}$-sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, denoted by $M_{1} \oplus_{3} M_{2}$.

The following is known for matroid 2 -sums.
Lemma 1. (Proposition 7.1 .22 of [1]) A 2-sum $M=M_{1} \oplus_{2} M_{2}$ is connected if and only if both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are connected.

The similar conclusion may not be made for 3 -sums of binary matroids. An example is presented in Figure 1, which is a slight modification of Figure 9.3 of [1]. In Figure 1, it is shown that while a binary matroid $M=M_{1} \oplus_{3} M_{2}$ is 3-connected, one of the summand $M_{1}$, as it contains a 2-circuit, is not 3-connected. Therefore, it is of interests to determine natural conditions that would assure both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are 3-connected when a binary matroid $M=M_{1} \oplus_{3} M_{2}$ is 3-connected. The purpose of this research is to investigate such conditions. The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a simple 3-connected binary matroid and $M=M_{1} \oplus_{3} M_{2}$ is a 3-sum of two matroids. Each of the followings holds.
(i) Both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are connected matroids.
(ii) If both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are simple, then each of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is 3-connected.

We present some preliminaries in Section 2 and prove the main result in Section 3.

## 2 Priliminaries

Following [1], the connectivity function $\lambda_{M}(X)$ of a matroid $M=(E, \mathscr{I})$ satisfies $\lambda_{M}(X)=r(X)+r(E-X)-$ $r(M)=r_{M}(X)+r_{M^{*}}(X)-|X|$ for any subset $X \subseteq E(M)$. A partition $(X, E-X)$ of $E$ is a $k$-separation of $M$ if both $\lambda(X)<k$ and $\min \{|X|,|E-X|\} \geq k$. A subset $X \subset E$ is a separator if $(X, E-X)$ is a 1-separation. A matroid $M$ is $n$-connected if $M$ does not has a $k$-separation for any integer $k \leq n$.

Lemma 3. (Proposition 2.1.11 of [1]) If $C$ is a circuit and $C^{*}$ is a cocircuit of the matroid $M$, then $\left|C \cap C^{*}\right| \neq 1$.
Lemma 4. (Lemmas 1.4.3 and 1.4.6 of [1]) Suppose $X \subseteq E$ and $x \in E$.
(i) If $X \in \mathscr{I}(M)$ and $X \cup x \notin \mathscr{I}(M)$, then $x \in \operatorname{cl}(X)$.
(ii) If $X \subseteq Y \subseteq E$, then $\operatorname{cl}(X) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}(Y)$.

Lemma 5. Let $M=(E, \mathscr{I})$ be a matroid and $X \subset E$ be a proper nonempty subset of $E$. The followings are equivalent:
(i) There exists a base $B$ of $M$, such that $B \cap X \in \mathscr{B}(M \mid X)$ and $B-X \in \mathscr{B}(M-X)$.
(ii) For any two bases $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of $M,\left|B_{1} \cap X\right|=\left|B_{2} \cap X\right|$. (Equivalently, $\left|B_{1}-X\right|=\left|B_{2}-X\right|$.)
(iii) $X$ is a separator of matroid $M$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose there exists a base $B$ of $M$ such that $B \cap X \in \mathscr{B}(M \mid X)$ and $B-X \in \mathscr{B}(M-X)$. For any $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \mathscr{B}(M)$, and for $i \in\{1,2\}$, as $B_{i} \cap X \in \mathscr{I}(M \mid X)$ and $B_{i}-X \in \mathscr{B}(M-X)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{i} \cap X\right| \leq|B \cap X| \text { and }\left|B_{i}-X\right| \leq|B-X| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|B_{i}\right|=\left|B_{i} \cap X\right|+\left|B_{i}-X\right| \leq|B \cap X|+|B-X|=|B| .
$$

As $\left|B_{i}\right|=|B|$, we conclude that $\left|B_{1} \cap X\right|=|B \cap X|=\left|B_{2} \cap X\right|$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume that (ii) holds. Let $B_{X} \in \mathscr{B}(M \mid X)$ and $B_{E-X} \in \mathscr{B}(M-X)$. Augment $B_{X}$ to a base $B_{1} \in \mathscr{B}(M)$ and augment $B_{E-X}$ to a base $B_{2} \in \mathscr{B}(M)$. By (ii), $\lambda_{M}(X)=r_{M}(X)+r_{M}(E-X)-r(M)=\left|B_{X}\right|+\left|B_{E-X}\right|-\left|B_{1}\right|=$ 0 . As $X \notin\{\emptyset, E\}$, we have $\min \{|X|,|E-X|\} \geq 1$. By definition, $X$ is a separator.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $X$ be a separator of $M$. Then $r_{M}(X)+r_{M}(E-X)=r(M)$. Pick a $B_{X} \in \mathscr{B}(M \mid X)$ and augment $B_{X}$ to a $B \in \mathscr{B}(M)$. Then as $B-X \in \mathscr{I}(M-X)$ and $|B-X|=|B|-|B \cap X|=r(M)-r_{M}(X)=r_{M}(E-X)$, it follows that $B \cap X=B_{X} \in \mathscr{B}(M \mid X)$ and $B-X \in \mathscr{B}(M-X)$.

## 3 Proof of theorem 2

Let $M$ be a simple 3-connected binary matroid and $M=M_{1} \oplus_{3} M_{2}$. Then by Lemma 1, both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are 2-connected matroid. Assume now that for some $i \in\{1,2\}, M_{i}$ is simple. We are to show that $M_{i}$ is 3 -connected.

Proof. Assume that $M_{i}$ is a simple matroid. Let $Z=E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap E\left(M_{2}\right)=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right\}$. By the definition of a binary 3-sum, $Z \in \mathscr{C}\left(M_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{C}\left(M_{2}\right)$. For some $i \in\{1,2\}$, if $X \subseteq E\left(M_{i}\right)$, then we denote $Y=E\left(M_{i}\right)-X$ throughout the proof. If $X \cap Z=\emptyset$, then direct computing yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{M_{i}}(X) & =r_{M_{i}}(X)+r_{M_{i}^{*}}(X)-|X|=r_{M_{i}-Z}(X)+r_{M_{i}^{*}-Z}(X)-|X|  \tag{2}\\
& =r_{M-\left(E\left(M_{3-i}\right)-Z\right)}(X)+r_{M^{*}-\left(E\left(M_{3-i}\right)-Z\right)}(X)-|X| \\
& =r_{M}(X)+r_{M^{*}}(X)-|X|=\lambda_{M}(X) .
\end{align*}
$$

We argue by contradiction to show (i) and assume, for some $i \in\{1,2\}$, that $M_{i}$ has a separator $X$. So $\min \{|X|,|Y|\} \geq 1$ and $\lambda_{M_{i}}(X)=0$.

Suppose first that $X \cap Z=\emptyset$. By (2) and $\lambda_{M_{i}}(X)=0$, it follows that $X$ is a separator of $M$, contrary to the assumption that $M$ is connected. Hence we must have $X \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, we also have $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$.

By symmetry, we may assume that $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq X$ and $z_{3} \in Y$. Augment the independent set $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ to be a base $B_{X} \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i} \mid X\right)$, and then augment $B_{X}$ to be a base $B \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i}\right)$. As $Z$ is a circuit, $z_{3} \notin B$, and so $Z$ must be the fundamental circuit in $B \cup z_{3}$. It follows that $B^{\prime}=\left(B-z_{1}\right) \cup z_{3} \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $|B \cap X|=\left|B^{\prime} \cap X\right|+1$. By Lemma 5, $X$ can not be a separator of $M_{i}$, contrary to the assumption. This proves Theorem 2(i).

To show (ii), we assume that both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are simple, to show that for each $i \in\{1,2\}$, and for arbitrarily chosen proper nonempty subset $X \subset E\left(M_{i}\right)$ with $Y=E\left(M_{i}\right)-X$, satisfying $\min \{|X|,|Y|\} \geq 2$, we always have $\lambda_{M_{i}}(X) \geq 2$.
Claim 1. If $X \cap Z=\emptyset$, then $\lambda_{M_{i}}(X) \geq 2$.

By contradiction, assume that $X \cap Z=\emptyset$ and $\lambda_{M_{i}}<2$. By (2), we have $\lambda_{M}(X)=\lambda_{M_{i}}(X)<2$, and $\mid E(M)-$ $X\left|\geq|Y-Z|+\left|E\left(M_{3-i}\right)-Z\right| \geq 2\right.$. It follows that $(X, E(M)-X)$ is a 2-separation of $M$, contrary to the assumption that $M$ is 3-connected. This proves Claim 1.

By Claim 1 and by symmetry, we may assume that both $X \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ and $Y \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. Thus we may again assume that $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\} \subseteq X$ and $z_{3} \in Y$. Augment the independent set $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$ to be a base $B_{X} \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i} \mid X\right)$, and then augment $B_{X}$ to be a base $B \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i}\right)$. As $z_{3} \notin B, Z$ must be the fundamental circuit in $B \cup z_{3}$, and so $B^{\prime}=\left(B-z_{1}\right) \cup z_{3} \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
|B \cap X|=\left|B^{\prime} \cap X\right|+1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 2. $r_{M_{i}}(Y) \geq 2$ and $r_{M_{i}^{*}}(Y) \geq 2$.
Let $y \in Y-z_{3}$ be an element. By Theorem 2(i), $\{y\}$ is not a separator of $M_{i}$, and so $1 \leq \lambda_{M_{i}}(y)=r_{M_{i}}(y)+$ $r_{M_{i}^{*}}(y)-|y| \leq 1+1-1=1$, forcing $\lambda_{M_{i}}(y)=r_{M_{i}}(y)=r_{M_{i}^{*}}(y)=1$. Since $Z$ is a circuit of $M_{i}$, it follows by Lemma 3 that $\left\{z_{3}, y\right\}$ is coindependent in $M_{i}$. Since $M_{i}$ is simple matroid, $\left\{z_{3}, y\right\}$ is independent in $M_{i}$. This proves that $r_{M_{i}}(Y) \geq\left|\left\{z_{3}, y\right\}\right| \geq 2$ and $r_{M_{i}^{*}}(Y) \geq\left|\left\{z_{3}, y\right\}\right| \geq 2$, and so Claim 2 holds.

If $|Y|=2$, then by Claim 2, we have $\lambda_{M_{i}}(X)=\lambda_{M_{i}}(Y)=r_{M_{i}}(Y)+r_{M_{i}^{*}}(Y)-|Y|=2+2-2=2$, a contradiction. Therefore, throughout the rest of the arguments, we assume that $|Y| \geq 3$.
Claim 3. $B^{\prime} \cap Y \notin \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i} \mid Y\right)$.
By contradiction, assume that $B^{\prime} \cap Y \in \mathscr{B}\left(M_{i} \mid Y\right)$. Let $Y^{\prime}=Y-z_{3}$ and $X^{\prime}=E\left(M_{i}\right)-Y^{\prime}$. Then $X^{\prime}=X \cup z_{3}$, $\left|Y^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ and $Y^{\prime} \subseteq E\left(M_{i}\right)-Z$. By rank function properties, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \leq r_{M_{i}}(Y) \leq r_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)+1 \text { and } r_{M_{i}}(Y)-1 \leq r_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \leq r_{M_{i}}(Y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Z \in \mathscr{C}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $Z-X=\left\{z_{3}\right\}$, it follows that $r_{M_{i}}\left(X \cup\left\{z_{3}\right\}\right)=r_{M_{i}}(X)=\left|B_{X}\right|=|B \cap X|$. This, together with (4) and $\lambda_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=r_{M_{i}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)+r_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)-r\left(M_{i}\right)$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{M_{i}}(X)+r_{M_{i}}(Y)-1-r\left(M_{i}\right) \leq \lambda_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \leq r_{M_{i}}(X)+r_{M_{i}}(Y)-r\left(M_{i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $r_{M_{i}}(X)=|B \cap X|, r_{M_{i}}(Y)=\left|B^{\prime} \cap Y\right|$ and $r\left(M_{i}\right)=|B|=\left|B^{\prime}\right|$, and by (3), we have $r_{M_{i}}(X)+r_{M_{i}}(Y)-r\left(M_{i}\right)=$ $|B \cap X|+\left|B^{\prime} \cap Y\right|-\left|B^{\prime}\right|=|B \cap X|-\left|B^{\prime} \cap X\right|=1$. This, together with (5), implies that $0 \leq \lambda_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \leq 1$. On the other hand, by $Y^{\prime} \subseteq E\left(M_{i}\right)-Z$ and by Claim 1, we have $\lambda_{M_{i}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \geq 2$. It is a contradiction. This proves Claim 3 .

By Claim 3, and as $|Y| \geq 3$. there exists an element $y^{\prime} \in Y-B^{\prime}$, such that the fundamental circuit $C_{M_{i}}\left(y^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ in $B^{\prime} \cup y^{\prime}$ contains elements not in $Y$, and so $C_{M_{i}}\left(y^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in C_{M_{i}}\left(y, B^{\prime}\right) \cap X$. Then $B^{\prime \prime}=\left(B^{\prime}-x\right) \cup y \in$ $\mathscr{B}\left(M_{i}\right)$, and $\left|B^{\prime \prime} \cap X\right|=\left|B^{\prime} \cap X\right|-1=|B \cap X|-2$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(M_{i}\right) & =\left|B^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|B^{\prime \prime} \cap X\right|+\left|B^{\prime \prime} \cap Y\right|=|B \cap X|-2+\left|B^{\prime \prime} \cap Y\right| \\
& =r_{M_{i}}(X)-2+\left|B^{\prime \prime} \cap Y\right| \leq r_{M_{i}}(X)-2+r_{M_{i}}(Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\lambda_{M_{i}}(X) \geq 2$. It follows that $M_{i}$ does not have any 2 -separations and so $M_{i}$ is 3 -connected. This completes the proof.
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