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#### Abstract

A graph $G$ is Hamilton-connected if for any pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, $G$ has a spanning $(u, v)$-path; $G$ is 1-hamiltonian if for any vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S| \leq 1, G-S$ has a spanning cycle. Let $\delta(G), \alpha^{\prime}(G)$ and $L(G)$ denote the minimum degree, the matching number and the line graph of a graph $G$, respectively. The following result is obtained. Let $G$ be a simple graph with $|E(G)| \geq 3$. If $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$, then each of the following holds. (i) $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$. (ii) $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian if and only if $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$.
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## 1 The Problem

Graphs considered here are finite and loopless. Terms and notation in this paper follow generally those in [3]. As in [3], for a graph $G$, let $\alpha(G), \alpha^{\prime}(G), \kappa(G)$ and $\kappa^{\prime}(G)$ denote the stability number (also called the independence number), matching number, connectivity and edge connectivity of $G$, respectively. This research is motivated by the following well-known theorem of Chvátal and Erdős on hamiltonian graphs.

Theorem 1.1 (Chvátal and Erdős [9]) Let $G$ be a simple graph with at least three vertices.
(i) If $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)$, then $G$ has a Hamilton cycle.
(ii) If $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)-1$, then $G$ has a Hamilton path.
(iii) If $\kappa(G) \geq \alpha(G)+1$, then $G$ is Hamilton-connected.

As shown in the survey of Saito in [29], there have been many extensions and variations of Theorem 1.1. A graph is supereulerian if it has a spanning Eulerian subgraph. There are quite a few investigations using similar conditions involving edge connectivity, stability number or matching number to study supereulerian graphs, as seen in [10,20,21,31,34], among others.

Another motivation of this research comes from Thomassen's conjecture [32] that every 4 -connected line graph is hamiltonian. The line graph of a graph $G$, denoted by $L(G)$, is a simple graph with vertex set $E(G)$, where two vertices in $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in $G$ are adjacent. A number most fascinating conjectures in this area are presented below. By an ingenious argument of Ryjáček [27], Conjecture 1.2(i) below is equivalent to a seeming stronger conjecture of Conjecture 1.2(ii). In [28], it is shown that all conjectures stated in Conjecture 1.2 are equivalent to each other.

## Conjecture 1.2

(i) (Thomassen [32]) Every 4-connected line graph is hamiltonian.
(ii) (Matthews and Sumner [26]) Every 4-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian.
(iii) (Kužel and Xiong [15]) Every 4-connected line graph is Hamilton-connected.
(iv) (Ryjáček and Vrána [28]) Every 4-connected claw-free graph is Hamiltonconnected.

Many researches have been conducted towards these conjectures, as can be found in the surveys in [4,12,13], among others. The best result by far is obtained by Kaiser, Ryjáček and Vrána in [14]. Recently, Algefari et al. (Corollary 1.1 of [1]) proved that every connected simple graph $G$ with $|E(G)| \geq 3$ and with $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$ has a hamiltonian line graph. For an integer $s \geq 0$, a graph $G$ is $s$-hamiltonian if for any vertex subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ with $|X| \leq s, G-X$ has a Hamilton cycle. The current research is to investigate similar relationship between the minimum degree and the matching number of a graph that would warrant Hamilton-connected line graphs and 1-hamiltonian line graphs. As Hamilton-connected graphs and 1-hamiltonian graphs must be 3-connected, it is natural to conduct the investigation within 3-connected line graphs. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.3 Let $G$ be a simple graph with $|E(G)| \geq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$. Then, each of the following holds.
(i) $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$.
(ii) $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian if and only if $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$.

## 2 Preliminaries

A cycle on $n$ vertices is often called an $n$-cycle. For a subset $X \subseteq V(G)$ or $X \subseteq E(G)$, $G[X]$ is the subgraph of $G$ induced by $X$. A path from a vertex $u$ to a vertex $v$ is referred to as a $(u, v)$-path. An edge subset $X$ of $G$ is an essential cut if $G-X$ has at least two nontrivial components or if $|X|=|E(G)|-1$. For an integer $k \geq 0$, a connected graph $G$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected if $G$ does not have an essential edge cut $X$ with $|X|<k$. For a connected graph $G$, let ess' $(G)$ be the largest integer $k$ such that $G$ is essentially $k$-edge-connected. By the definition of a line graph, we have the following observation for a graph $G$ and its line graph $L(G)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(L(G))=e s s^{\prime}(G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1 Maximum Matching of a Graph

Let $M$ be a matching in $G$. We use $V(M)$ to denote the set $V(G[M])$. A path $P$ in $G$ is an $M$-augmenting path if the edges of $P$ are alternately in $M$ and in $E(G)-M$, and if both end vertices of $P$ are not in $V(M)$. We start with a fundamental theorem of Berge.

Theorem 2.1 (Berge [2]) A matching $M$ in $G$ is a maximum matching if and only if $G$ does not have $M$-augmenting paths.

Applying Theorem 2.1, the following results are proved in [1], which will be utilized in our arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 2.1 of [1]) Let $k>0$ be an integer and $G$ be a graph with a matching $M$ such that $|M|=k$. Suppose that $V(G)-V(M)$ has a subset $X$ with $|X| \geq 2$ such that for any $v \in X, d(v) \geq k$. If $X$ has at least one vertex $u$ such that $d(u) \geq k+1$, then $M$ is not a maximum matching of $G$.

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 2.2 of [1]) Let $G$ be a connected simple graph with $n=$ $|V(G)| \geq 2$ and $k=\alpha^{\prime}(G)$. If $\delta(G) \geq k$, then $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq k$.

### 2.2 Collapsible Graphs and Strongly Spanning Trailable Graphs

We use a definition of collapsible graphs [18] that is equivalent to Catlin's original definition in [6]. For a graph $G$, we use $O(G)$ to denote the set of all vertices of odd degree in $G$. A graph $G$ is collapsible if for any subset $R \subseteq V(G)$ with $|R| \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2), G$ has a spanning connected subgraph $H$ such that $O(H)=R$. If $G$ is
collapsible, then by definition with $R=\emptyset, G$ is supereulerian and so $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 2$. As examples, Catlin [6] observed that cycles of length at most 3 are collapsible. In [6], Catlin showed that for any graph $G$, every vertex of $G$ lies in a unique maximal collapsible subgraph of $G$. The reduction of $G$, denoted by $G^{\prime}$, is obtained from $G$ by contracting all nontrivial maximal collapsible subgraphs of $G$. A graph is reduced if it is the reduction of some graph. As shown in [6], a reduced graph is simple.

Theorem 2.4 Let $G$ be a graph.
(i) (Catlin, Theorem 3 of [6]) Suppose that H is a collapsible subgraph of G. Then, $G$ is collapsible if and only if $G / H$ is collapsible.
(ii) (Catlin, Lemma 3 of [6]) If $G$ is collapsible, then any contraction of $G$ is also collapsible.
(iii) (Catlin, Theorem 5 of [6]) A graph $G$ is reduced if and only if $G$ does not contain a nontrivial collapsible subgraph.
(iv) If $G$ has a spanning connected subgraph $Q$, such that for any edge $e \in E(Q)$, $G$ has a collapsible subgraph $J_{e}$ with $e \in E\left(J_{e}\right)$, then $G$ is collapsible.

Proof We argue by induction on $n=|V(G)|$ to prove (iv). As (iv) holds for $n=1$, we assume that $n \geq 2$. For any $e \in E(Q)$, let $J_{e}$ denote a collapsible subgraph of $G$ with $e \in E\left(J_{e}\right)$. We fix an edge $e_{0} \in E(Q)$ and let $J=J_{e_{0}}$ be a collapsible subgraph of $G$ that contains $e_{0}$. Define $G_{1}=G / J$. As $Q$ is a spanning subgraph in $G$, $Q_{1}=Q /(Q \cap J)$ is a spanning subgraph of $G_{1}$. For any edge $e \in E\left(Q_{1}\right) \subseteq E(Q)$, there exists a collapsible subgraph $J_{e}$ of $G$ with $e \in E\left(J_{e}\right)$. By Theorem 2.4(ii), $J_{e}^{\prime}=J_{e} /\left(J \cap J_{e}\right)$ is a collapsible subgraph of $G_{1}$ with $e \in E\left(J_{e}^{\prime}\right)$. It follows by induction that $G_{1}$ is collapsible. By Theorem 2.4(i), $G$ is collapsible.

For $u, v \in V(G)$, a $(u, v)$-trail is a trail of $G$ from $u$ to $v$. For $e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$, an $\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$-trail is a trail of $G$ having end-edges $e$ and $e^{\prime}$. An $\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$-trail $T$ is dominating if each edge of $G$ is incident with at least one internal vertex of $T$, and $T$ is spanning if $T$ is a dominating trail with $V(T)=V(G)$. A graph $G$ is spanning trailable if for each pair of edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}, G$ has a spanning $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$-trail. Suppose that $e=u_{1} v_{1}$ and $e^{\prime}=u_{2} v_{2}$ are two edges of $G$. If $e \neq e^{\prime}$, then the graph $G\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $G$ by replacing $e=u_{1} v_{1}$ with a path $u_{1} v_{e} v_{1}$ and by replacing $e^{\prime}=u_{2} v_{2}$ with a path $u_{2} v_{e^{\prime}} v_{2}$, where $v_{e}, v_{e^{\prime}}$ are two new vertices not in $V(G)$. If $e=e^{\prime}$, then $G\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$, also denoted by $G(e)$, is obtained from $G$ by replacing $e=u_{1} v_{1}$ with a path $u_{1} v_{e} v_{1}$. For the recovering operation, we let $c_{e}\left(G\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be the graph obtained from $G\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$ by replacing the path $u_{1} v_{e} v_{1}$ with the edge $e=u_{1} v_{1}$. Thus, $c_{e^{\prime}}\left(c_{e}\left(G\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=G$.

By the definition of $G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we have the following observation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { is collapsible, then } G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right) \text { has a spanning }\left(v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}\right) \text {-trail. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if $G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible, then $G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has a spanning connected subgraph $J$ with $O(J)=\left\{v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}\right\}$. Hence $J$ is a spanning $\left(v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}\right)$-trail.

As defined in [23], a graph $G$ is strongly spanning trailable if for any $e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$, $G\left(e, e^{\prime}\right)$ has a $\left(v_{e}, v_{e^{\prime}}\right)$-trail $T$ with $V(G)=V(T)-\left\{v_{e}, v_{e^{\prime}}\right\}$. Since $e=e^{\prime}$ is possible, strongly spanning trailable graphs are both spanning trailable and supereulerian.

Theorem 2.5 (Luo et al. [24], see also Theorem 4 of [7]) If $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 4$, then $G$ is strongly spanning trailable.

Harary and Nash-Williams showed that there is a close relationship between a graph and its line graph concerning Hamilton cycles.

Theorem 2.6 (Harary and Nash-Williams [11]) Let $G$ be a graph with $|E(G)| \geq$ 3. Then, $L(G)$ is hamiltonian if and only if $G$ has an Eulerian subgraph $H$ with $E(G-V(H))=\emptyset$.

Let $G$ be a graph with $|V(G)| \geq 3$. For each integer $i \geq 0$, define $D_{i}(G)=\{v \in$ $\left.V(G): d_{G}(v)=i\right\}$. Suppose that $e s s^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$. The core of this graph $G$, denoted by $G_{0}$, is obtained from $G-D_{1}(G)$ by contracting exactly one edge $x y$ or $y z$ for each path $x y z$ in $G$ with $d_{G}(y)=2$. By the definition of $D_{i}(G), G-D_{1}(G)$ is connected if $G$ is connected. As contraction does not decrease the edge connectivity, $G_{0}$ is connected if $G$ is connected. Lemma 2.7 (iii) below is proved by using a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Lemma 2.7 (Shao [30]) Let $G$ be a connected nontrivial graph such that $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$, and let $G_{0}$ denote the core of $G$.
(i) $G_{0}$ is uniquely determined by $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$.
(ii) (see also Lemma 2.9 of [16]) If $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable, then $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected.
(iii) (see also Proposition 2.2 of [16]) $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if for any pair of edges $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G), G$ has a dominating $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$-trail.

## 3 Proof of the Main Results

Theorem 1.3 will be proved in this section. As every Hamilton-connected graph must be 3-connected, and every 1-hamiltonian graph must be 3-connected, it suffices to prove that if $G$ is a graph satisfying $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$ and $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$, then $L(G)$ is Hamiltonconnected for Theorem 1.3(i) and $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian for Theorem 1.3(ii).

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.3(i).

As $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$, we have ess $^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$, and so by Lemma 2.7(i), the core $G_{0}$ of $G$ is well-defined with $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$. We shall prove a slightly stronger Theorem 3.1, which implies the sufficiency of Theorem 1.3(i).

Theorem 3.1 Let $G$ be a connected simple graph with $|E(G)| \geq 3$ and ess $^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$, and let $G_{0}$ denote the core of $G$.
(i) If $\delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)$, then $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable.
(ii) Suppose that $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$. Then, $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected if and only if $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$.


Fig. 1 nontrivial reduced graphs in Theorem 3.3(ii)

To prove Theorem 3.1, we begin with some tools that would be used in the arguments. For a graph $G$, let $\operatorname{circ}(G)$ denote the length of a longest cycle of $G$.

Proposition 3.2 Let $G$ be a connected simple graph with $|E(G)| \geq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq$ $\alpha^{\prime}(G)=k$.
(i) If $k \geq 4$, then $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq k \geq 4$ and $G$ is strongly spanning trailable.
(ii) (Lemma 3.1 of [1]) If $k=1$, then $G \in\left\{K_{3}, K_{1, n-1}\right\}$.
(iii) If $k \geq 4$ or $k=1$, then $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected.

Proof To prove Proposition 3.2(i), we apply Theorem 2.3 to conclude that $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq k \geq$ 4. Hence by Theorem $2.5, G$ is strongly spanning trailable. It remains to justify Proposition 3.2(iii). If $k \geq 4$, then as $G$ is strongly spanning trailable, by Lemma 2.7(iii), $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected. If $k=1$, then $L(G)$ is a complete graph and so it is also Hamilton-connected.

We define $P^{-}(10), P(10), P(11), K_{1,3}(1,1,1), K_{2,3}, T(1,2)$ to be the graphs as respectively depicted in Fig. 1.

Theorem 3.3 Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n=|V(G)|$, and let $G^{\prime}$ denote the reduction of $G$.
(i) (Ma et al., Theorem 3.2 of [25], See also Theorem 4.5 .4 of [33]) If $G=G^{\prime}$, and $G$ satisfies $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 2, \operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 8,\left|D_{2}(G)\right| \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$, then $G$ is collapsible.
(ii) (Theorem 1.7 of [19]) If $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3, n \leq 11,\left|D_{1}(G)\right|=0$ and $\left|D_{2}(G)\right| \leq 2$, then $G^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{1}, K_{2,3}, K_{1,3}(1,1,1), T(1,2), P^{-}(10), P(10), P(11)\right\}$.

## Corollary 3.4 Each of the following holds.

(i) Every graph $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 2$, $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 8,\left|D_{2}(G)\right| \leq 2$ and ess' $(G) \geq 3$ is collapsible.
(ii) Every graph $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 6$ is strongly spanning trailable.
(iii) Let $G$ be a graph with $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 6$, and let $G_{0}$ be the core of $G$. Then, $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable.

Proof Let $G$ be a graph with $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 2, \operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 8,\left|D_{2}(G)\right| \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$, and let $G^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $G$. By the definition of contraction, we have $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 2, \operatorname{circ}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 8$ and $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$. Let $v \in D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be a vertex. Since $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3, v$ must be a trivial vertex and so $v \in D_{2}(G)$. This implies that $\left|D_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|D_{2}(G)\right| \leq 2$. It follows by Theorem 3.3, $G^{\prime}$ is collapsible which implies that $G^{\prime}=K_{1}$ and so $G$ is collapsible. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), we assume that $G$ with $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 6$. Let $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E(G)$ be two edges and let $H=G\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then, as $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 6$, we conclude that $\kappa^{\prime}(H) \geq 2, \operatorname{circ}(H) \leq 8,\left|D_{2}(H)\right| \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(H) \geq 3$. It follows by (i) that $H$ is collapsible. Let $v_{e^{\prime}}$ and $v_{e^{\prime \prime}}$ denote the only vertices in $D_{2}(H)$. As $H$ is collapsible, $H$ has a spanning connected subgraph $T$ with $O(T)=\left\{v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}\right\}$. Thus, $T$ is a spanning $\left(v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}\right)$-trail of $H$, and so by the randomness of $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}, G$ is strongly spanning trailable. This proves (ii).

Now we assume that $G$ is a graph with $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 6$. Let $G_{0}$ denote the core of $G$. By Lemma 2.7(i), $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$. As $G_{0}$ is a contraction of $G$, we have $\operatorname{circ}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq \operatorname{circ}(G) \leq 6$. By (ii), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable.

### 3.1.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1(i)

We assume that $\delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)$. Let $n=\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right|$ and $k=\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)$. As $G$ is connected, by the definition of $G_{0}, G_{0}$ is also connected. Thus, if $k=0$, then $n=1$, and so by definition, $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable. Hence, we assume that $k>0$. Then, $|V(G)| \geq n=\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right| \geq 2 \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right)=2 k \geq 2$. Thus, $G$ is a connected nontrivial graph. As ess' $(G) \geq 3$, by (1) and Lemma 2.7(i), $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$. Thus, $\left|E\left(G_{0}\right)\right| \geq 3$. If $k=1$, then applying Proposition 3.2(ii) to $G_{0}, G_{0}$ is spanned either by a $K_{3}$ or by a $K_{1, n-1}$ with $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$. If $G_{0}$ is spanned by a $K_{3}$, then this $K_{3}$ must have at least two edges each of which lies in a 2 -cycle. For any $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in G_{0}$, if there exists a 2-cycle $C$ in $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then after contracting this 2-cycle $C$ in $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, every edge of $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right) / C$ lies in a cycle of length at most 3 . As $C$ is collapsible, by Theorem 2.4(i) that $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible. If there does not exist a 2 -cycle in $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, every edge of $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ lies in a cycle of length at most 3 in $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It follows by Theorem 2.4(iv) that $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible. When $G_{0}$ is spanned by a $K_{1, n-1}$, since $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$, every edge must be in a parallel class of at least three edges. In this case, every edge of $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ lies in a cycle of length at most 3 . It follows by Theorem 2.4(iv) that $G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is collapsible. By (2), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable. If $k \geq 4$, then by Proposition 3.2(i), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable. Therefore, we assume that $k \in\{2,3\}$. Suppose that $k=2$. Then, $G_{0}$ does not have a cycle of length longer than 5 , and so by Corollary 3.4(iii), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable.

Hence, we assume that $k=3$, and so $\operatorname{circ}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 7$. If $\operatorname{circ}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq 6$, then by Corollary 3.4(iii), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable, and we are done. Therefore, we assume that $\operatorname{circ}\left(G_{0}\right)=7$. Let $C$ be a cycle of $G_{0}$ with $|V(C)|=7$. If $V\left(G_{0}\right)-$ $V(C) \neq \emptyset$, then as $G_{0}$ is connected, there must be a vertex $v \in V\left(G_{0}\right)-V(C)$ such that $v$ is adjacent to a vertex on $C$, implying that $3=\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 4$, a contradiction. Thus, $V\left(G_{0}\right)=V(C)$ and so $\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right|=7$ and $C$ is a Hamilton cycle of $G_{0}$.

For any $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$, let $H=G_{0}\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and let $v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}$ denote the new vertices newly added in the process of subdividing $e^{\prime}$ and $e^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. Then, $|V(H)|=9$. As $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$, we have $\left|D_{1}(H)\right|=0$ and $\left|D_{2}(H)\right|=2$. Let $H^{\prime}$ be the reduction of $H$. We claim that $H^{\prime}=K_{1}$ and so $H$ is collapsible. By contradiction, we assume that $1<\left|V\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq|V(H)|=9$. By Theorem 3.3 (ii), $H^{\prime} \in\left\{K_{2,3}, K_{1,3}(1,1,1), T(1,2)\right\}$. Since $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3,\left|D_{2}\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq\left|D_{2}(H)\right| \leq 2$. It follows that $H^{\prime} \notin\left\{K_{2,3}, K_{1,3}(1,1,1), T(1,2)\right\}$, as any of these graphs have at least 3 vertices of degree 2. This contradiction implies that $H^{\prime}=K_{1}$ and so $H$ is collapsible.

By (2), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1(i).

### 3.1.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1(ii)

In this subsection, we assume Theorem 3.1(i) to prove Theorem 3.1(ii). It suffices to show that if ess $^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$, then $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected. Let $G_{0}$ denote the core of $G, k=\alpha^{\prime}(G)$ and $n=\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right|$.

By Proposition 3.2(i), if $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \geq 4$, then $G$ is strongly spanning trailable. By definition, any spanning ( $v_{e^{\prime}}, v_{e^{\prime \prime}}$ )-trail induces a spanning ( $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime}$ )-trail in $G$. It follows by Lemma 2.7 that $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected.

Hence, we assume that $k \leq 3$. As $G_{0}$ is a contraction of $G$, we have $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq$ $\alpha^{\prime}(G) \leq 3 \leq \kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq \delta\left(G_{0}\right)$. By Theorem 3.1(i), $G_{0}$ is strongly spanning trailable. By Lemma 2.7(ii), $L(G)$ is Hamilton-connected. This completes the proof.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3(ii)

For a vertex $u \in V(G)$, define $N_{G}(u)=\{v \in V(G): u v \in E(G)\}$ to be the set of neighbors of $u$ in $G$. The main purpose of this subsection is to prove Theorem 1.3(ii). As remarked at the beginning of this section, it suffices to assume that $G$ is a graph satisfying $\delta(G) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(G)$ and $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$ to show that $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian. In the proof, we will need the following former results.

Lemma 3.5 Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $K_{3,3}^{-}$denote the graph obtained from $K_{3,3}$ by deleting an edge. Each of the following holds.
(i) (Catlin et al., Theorem 1.1 of [8]) If $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 4$, then for any edge subset $X \subseteq$ $E(G)$ with $|X| \leq 2, G-X$ has two edge-disjoint spanning trees and is collapsible.
(ii) (Catlin [5]) $K_{3,3}^{-}$is collapsible, and so $K_{3,3}$ is collapsible.
(iii) (Li et al., Lemma 2.1 of [22]) If $|V(G)| \leq 8$ with $\left|D_{1}(G)\right|=0$ and $\left|D_{2}(G)\right| \leq 2$. Then, the reduction of $G$ is in $\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{2,3}\right\}$.

By the definition of the core, and imitating the arguments in $[11,30]$ and in Theorem 2.7 of [17], we have the following observation.

Observation 3.6 Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer, $G$ be a connected graph with $|E(G)| \geq s+3$ and ess' $(G) \geq 3$, and $G_{0}$ be the core of $G$.
(i) (Theorem 2.7 of [17]) The line graph $L(G)$ is s-hamiltonian if and only iffor any $S \subseteq E(G)$ with $|S| \leq s, G-S$ has a dominating Eulerian subgraph.
(ii) If for any $S \subseteq E\left(G_{0}\right)$ with $|S| \leq s, G_{0}-S$ is supereulerian, then $L(G)$ is $s$-hamiltonian.

Proof It suffices to justify Observation 3.6(ii). By Observation 3.6(i), we need to prove that for any $X \subseteq E(G)$ with $|X| \leq s, G-X$ has a dominating Eulerian subgraph. Let $G_{0}$ denote the core of $G$. Define
$S_{1}=\left\{e \in E(G): e\right.$ is incident with a vertex in $\left.D_{1}(G)\right\}$,
$S_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{e \in X: e\right.$ is incident with a vertex in $\left.D_{2}(G)\right\}$.

We shall adopt the following convention in our arguments. If $e^{\prime}, e^{\prime \prime} \in S_{2}^{\prime}$ are incident with a vertex $v \in D_{2}(G)$, then we may always assume that $e^{\prime \prime}$ is being contracted in the construction of $G_{0}$ and $e^{\prime}$ remains in $E\left(G_{0}\right)$. With this convention, for any $v \in D_{2}(G)$, we may denote $E_{G}(v)=\left\{e_{v}^{\prime}, e_{v}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, and define $X_{2}=\left\{e_{v}^{\prime \prime}: v \in D_{2}(G)\right\}$. Hence by the definition of $G_{0}$, we may assume that $G_{0}=G /\left(S_{1} \cup X_{2}\right)$.

Let $S_{2}=S_{2}^{\prime}-X_{2}, S_{3}=X-\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, $S=S_{2} \cup S_{3} \subseteq E\left(G_{0}\right)$. As $S \subseteq X$, we have $|S| \leq|X| \leq s$. By the assumption of Observation 3.6(ii), $G_{0}-S$ has a spanning Eulerian subgraph $H^{\prime}$. Let $S_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\cup_{v \in D_{2}(G), e_{v}^{\prime} \in E\left(H^{\prime}\right)}\left\{e_{v}^{\prime}, e_{v}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$. Define $H=G\left[E\left(H^{\prime}\right) \cup S_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right]$. Since $H^{\prime}$ is an Eulerian subgraph of $G_{0}$, by the definition of $G_{0}$, every vertex in $H$ not incident with an edge in $X_{2} \cap S_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ has the same (even) degree as in $H^{\prime}$. As $H$ is obtained from $G\left[E\left(H^{\prime}\right)\right]$ by adding the edges in $X_{2} \cap S_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, which amounts to subdividing the edges in $\left(\cup_{v \in D_{2}(G)} E_{G}(v)\right) \cap E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ to form $H$, it follows that $H$ is an Eulerian subgraph of $G$. For any edge $e \in E(G)$, if $e \in E(G)-\left(S_{1} \cup X_{2}\right)=E\left(G_{0}\right)$, then since $H^{\prime}$ is a spanning Eulerian subgraph of $G_{0}, e$ is incident with a vertex in $V(H)$. If $e \in S_{1}$, then by $\operatorname{ess}^{\prime}(G) \geq 3, e$ is also incident with a vertex of degree at least 4 in $G$. Hence, $e$ is incident with a vertex in $V(H)$ as well. Finally, we assume that $e \in X_{2}$. As $X_{2}=\left\{e_{v}^{\prime \prime}: v \in D_{2}(G)\right\}$, there exists a vertex $v \in D_{2}(G)$ with $e=e_{v}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $u, w$ be the neighbors of $v$ in $G$, and so $u v w$ is a path of length 2 in $G$. As $e s s^{\prime}(G) \geq 3$, it follows that $d_{G}(u) \geq 3$ and $d_{G}(w) \geq 3$. By the definition that $G_{0}=G /\left(S_{1} \cup X_{2}\right)$ and since $H^{\prime}$ spans $G_{0}$, we have $u, w \in V\left(G_{0}\right)=V\left(H^{\prime}\right)$. As $H=G\left[E\left(H^{\prime}\right) \cup S_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right]$, this implies that $u, w \in V(H)$, and so $e$ must be incident with a vertex in $V(H)$. It follows by definition that $H$ is a dominating Eulerian subgraph of $G-X$, and so by Observation 3.6(i), $L(G)-X$ is hamiltonian. This proves Observation 3.6(ii).

To prove Theorem 1.3(ii), we let $k=\alpha^{\prime}(G)$ and $G_{0}$ denote the core of $G$. Then, we will justify the following claim.

Claim 1 If $k=1$ or $k \geq 4$, then $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian.
Suppose first that $k=1$. By Proposition 3.2(ii), $G \in\left\{K_{3}, K_{1, n-1}\right\}$. As $\kappa(L(G)) \geq 3$, $G \in\left\{K_{1, n-1}\right\}$ where $n \geq 5$. By the definition of a line graph, $L(G)=K_{n-1}$ is 1-hamiltonian. Next we assume that $k=\alpha^{\prime}(G) \geq 4$. By Theorem 2.3, $\kappa^{\prime}(G) \geq 4$. By Lemma 3.5(i), for any $e \in E(G), G-e$ is collapsible, and so is supereulerian. Thus by Observation 3.6, $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian. This proves Claim 1.

By Claim 1, it remains to discuss the cases when $k \in\{2,3\}$. Suppose that $k=2$. Let $M$ be a maximum matching of $G_{0}$ and $X$ be the set of vertices in $G_{0}$ not incident with any edges in $M$. As $\delta\left(G_{0}\right) \geq \kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$, it follows by Lemma 2.2 that $|X| \leq 1$ and so $\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right| \leq 5$. Thus for any edge $e \in E\left(G_{0}\right)$, we have $\left|V\left(G_{0}-e\right)\right| \leq\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right| \leq 5$. As $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$, we have $\left|D_{1}\left(G_{0}-e\right)\right|=0$ and $\left|D_{2}\left(G_{0}-e\right)\right| \leq 2$. By Lemma 3.5(iii), the reduction of $G_{0}-e$ is in $\left\{K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{2,3}\right\}$. Again by $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3, \kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}-e\right) \geq 2$ and $G_{0}-e$ has at most two edge cuts of size 2. Thus, the reduction of $G_{0}-e$ is 2 -edge-connected and has at most two edge cuts of size 2. Then, the reduction of $G_{0}-e$ is $K_{1}$ and so $G_{0}-e$ is collapsible. By Observation 3.6, $L(G)$ is 1-hamiltonian.

Hence, we assume that $k=3$. We shall show that
for any $e \in E\left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}-e$ is collapsible.

We prove (3) by contradiction, and assume that for some $e_{0}=z_{1} z_{2} \in E\left(G_{0}\right), G_{0}-e_{0}$ is not collapsible. Let $G_{0}^{\prime}$ denote the reduction of $G_{0}-e_{0}$. Since $G_{0}-e_{0}$ is not collapsible, $\left|V\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 2$.

Let $w_{1}$, $w_{2}$ be the vertices in $V\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$, each of whose preimages in $G_{0}-e_{0}$ contains an end vertex of $e_{0}$. We claim that $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$. By contradiction, we assume that $w_{1}=w_{2}$. As $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is the reduction of $G_{0}-e_{0}$, there exists a collapsible subgraph $H$ in $G_{0}-e_{0}$ with $V\left(e_{0}\right) \subseteq V(H)$, and so $\left(G_{0}-e_{0}\right) / H=G_{0} / H$. Since $G_{0} / H$ is a contraction of $G_{0}$, we have $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0} / H\right) \geq \kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$ and $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0} / H\right) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(G)=k \leq 3$. It follows that $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0} / H\right) \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{circ}\left(G_{0} / H\right) \leq 7$. By Corollary 3.4(i), $G_{0} / H$ is collapsible which implies that $\left(G_{0}-e_{0}\right) / H$ is collapsible. Thus by Theorem 2.4(i), $G_{0}-e_{0}$ is collapsible, which is contrary to the assumption that $G_{0}-e_{0}$ is not collapsible. This proves $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$.

Define $G_{0}^{+}$to be the graph obtained from $G_{0}^{\prime}$ by adding a new edge linking $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. Thus, $G_{0}^{+}$is a contraction of $G_{0}$, and $G_{0}^{\prime}=G_{0}^{+}-e_{0}$. As $G_{0}$ is a contraction of $G$ and $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is a contraction of $G_{0}-e_{0}$, it follows that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right) \leq \alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \leq \alpha^{\prime}(G)=$ $k \leq 3$. Since $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 3$ and $G_{0}^{+}$is a contraction of $G_{0}$, we have $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}^{+}\right) \geq 3$. As $G_{0}^{\prime}=G_{0}^{+}-e_{0}$, we conclude that $\kappa^{\prime}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right) \geq 2,\left|D_{2}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 2$ and ess $\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. Thus by Corollary 3.4(i), $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is collapsible. As $G_{0}^{\prime}$ is the reduction of $G_{0}-e_{0}$, we have $G_{0}^{\prime}=K_{1}$ and so $G_{0}-e_{0}$ is collapsible. This leads to a contradiction to the assumption that $G_{0}-e_{0}$ is not collapsible, and completes the proof of Theorem 1.3(ii).
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