Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Information Processing Letters

www.elsevier.com/locate/ipl

The connectivity of generalized graph products

Xiaomin Li^{a,*,1}, Lan Lei^{a,1}, Hong-Jian Lai^b

^a Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Technology and Business University, Chongqing 400067, PR China
 ^b Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506-6310, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 December 2016 Accepted 21 March 2018 Available online 28 March 2018 Communicated by Jinhui Xu

Keywords: Interconnection networks Connectivity Generalized prisms Generalized product

ABSTRACT

Bermond et al. (1984) [2] introduced a generalized product of graphs to model and construct large reliable networks under optimal conditions. This model includes the generalized prisms (also known as the permutation graphs). Piazza and Ringeisen (1991) [13] studied the optimal connectivity of generalized prisms and Lai (1995) [8] investigated the maximum subgraph connectivity of the generalized prisms. Li et al. extended these results to generalized products of trees. In this paper, we investigate the maximum subgraph connectivity of graphs, which extends the previous results mentioned above, and obtain sufficient conditions to warrant the construction of large survivable networks via generalized products. Sharpness of our results are addressed.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We follow Bondy and Murty [1] for undefined notation and terminology, and consider only finite loopless graphs in this note. For a graph *G*, $\kappa(G)$, $\kappa'(G)$ and $\delta(G)$ denote the connectivity, the edge-connectivity and the minimum degree of *G*, respectively. For an integer n > 0, define $\overline{n} = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and following [7], let $A(\overline{n})$ denote the group of permutations on \overline{n} . When \overline{n} is understood from the context, we often use S_n for $A(\overline{n})$. Let *G* be a graph with $V(G) = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ and G_1 and G_2 be two copies of *G* with $V(G_j) = \{x_1^j, x_2^j, ..., x_n^j\}$, $1 \le j \le 2$. If $\alpha \in S_n$, then the α -**generalized prism** over *G*, denoted by $\alpha(G)$, is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G_1 and G_2 together with the additional edges $\{x_i^1 x_{\alpha(i)}^2 \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lxm@ctbu.edu.cn (X. Li).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipl.2018.03.014 0020-0190/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. Prior results on generalized prisms can be found in [4,5, 13], among others.

Let $U(G) = \min\{|S| + |V(C)|\}$, where the minimum is taken over all vertex-cuts *S* of *G* and all nonempty components *C* of G - S. The following have been proved.

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a connected graph of order n > 1. Each of the following holds for any permutation $\alpha \in S_n$.

(i) (Piazza and Ringeisen [12,13]) $\min\{2\kappa(G), U(G)\} \le \kappa(\alpha(G)) \le U(G).$

(ii) ([8]) min{ $2\kappa(G), \delta(G) + 1$ } $\leq \kappa(\alpha(G)) \leq \delta(G) + 1$. (iii) (Formula (2) in [8]) $U(G) = \delta(G) + 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G* be a connected graph of order n > 1. Each of the following holds.

(i) (Piazza and Ringeisen [12,13]) If $\kappa(G) = \delta(G)$, then $\kappa(\alpha(G)) = \delta(\alpha(G))$ for any $\alpha \in S_n$.

(ii) (Piazza and Ringeisen [12,13]) If $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$, then $\kappa'(\alpha(G)) = \delta(\alpha(G))$ for any $\alpha \in S_n$.

(iii) (Corollary 2.2 in [8]) $\kappa(\alpha(G)) = \delta(\alpha(G))$, if and only if $2\kappa(G) \ge \delta(G) + 1$ for any $\alpha \in S_n$.







¹ This research was partially supported by the Basic and Advanced Research Project of CQ CSTC [cstc2014jcyjA00033], Science Foundation of Chongqing Education Committee (KJ1400630) and National Natural Science Foundation of China Project (11471059).

(iv) (Corollary 2.2 in [8]) $\kappa'(\alpha(G)) = \delta(\alpha(G))$, if and only if $2\kappa'(G) \ge \delta(G) + 1$ for any $\alpha \in S_n$.

Let $\varphi(G)$ denote a graphical function and define $\overline{\varphi}(G)$ to be the maximum value of $\varphi(H)$ taken over all subgraphs H of G. As indicated in [6], for certain network reliability measures φ , networks G with $\varphi(G) = \overline{\varphi}(G)$ are important for network survivability, and so the study of $\overline{\varphi}(G)$ is of interest. Mader [10] and Matula [11] first studied $\overline{\kappa}(G)$ and $\overline{\kappa}'(G)$ for a graph G. A permutation graph version is proved in [8].

Theorem 1.3. (Corollary 2.3 in [8]) Let *G* be a connected graph with *n* vertices. Then each of the following holds. (i) If $\kappa(G) = \overline{\delta}(G)$, then $\kappa(\alpha(G)) = \overline{\delta}(\alpha(G))$ for any $\alpha \in S_n$. (ii) If $\kappa'(G) = \overline{\delta}(G)$, then $\kappa'(\alpha(G)) = \overline{\delta}(\alpha(G))$ for any $\alpha \in S_n$.

Bermond et al. in [2] introduced the generalized product of graphs, which extends the notion of generalized prisms.

Definition 1.4. ([2]) Let *G* and *L* be connected graphs with n = |V(G)|, D = D(L) be an orientation of *L*, and $f : A(D) \rightarrow S_n$ be a mapping from the arc set A(D) to the permutation group. We define the generalized product of *G* and *L*, as follows.

(1) Denote $V(D) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$, and $A(D) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_\ell\}$. Following [1], an arc $e \in A(D)$ oriented from a vertex u_s to a vertex u_t is denoted by (u_s, u_t) .

(2) Denote $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, and let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m be vertex-disjoint copies of G such that for each j with $1 \le j \le m$, $V(G_j) = \{v_1^j, v_2^j, \dots, v_n^j\}$ and the mapping $v_i \mapsto v_i^j$ is a graph isomorphism between G and G_j . For each $u_i \in V(D)$, we use G_i to denote the copy of G corresponding to the vertex u_i .

(3) For the mapping $f : A(D) \rightarrow S_n$, if $e = (u_i, u_j) \in A(D)$, and if $\alpha = f(e) \in S_n$, then define

$$E_{ij} = E_{ij}^{f} = \{ v_t^{i} v_{\alpha(t)}^{j} : 1 \le t \le n \}.$$

For notational convenience in the proofs of the main results, we also use $f(v_t^i)$ to denote $v_{\alpha(t)}^j$.

(4) The generalized permutation graph $G^{D,f}$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G^{D,f}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} V(G_j)$ and edge set

$$E(G^{D,f}) = (\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} E(G_j)) \bigcup (\bigcup_{(u_i, u_j) \in A(D)} E_{ij}).$$

When $L = K_2$, $A(D) = \{(u_1, u_2)\}$ and $f(A(D)) = \{\alpha\}$, we have $G^{D,f} = \alpha(G)$ (called a permutation graph in [5]) and as defined in [13]. The following observation follows immediately from Definition 1.4.

Observation 1.5. Let D' be an orientation of L obtained from D by reversing an oriented edge $e = (u_i, u_j)$, and let $\alpha = f(e)$. Define $f' : A(D) \mapsto S_n$ to be a map that agrees with f on $A(D) - \{e\}$ and $f'(e) = \alpha^{-1}$. Then $G^{D, f} = G^{D', f'}$.

By Observation 1.5, when the orientation D is understood from the context or not emphasized, we shall use $G^{L,f}$ without specifically indicating the orientation D.

Lemma 1.6. (Bermond, Delorme and Farhi [2]) Let *G* be a connected graph and for any orientation *D* of *L*, $f : A(D) \mapsto S_n$ be a map. Then $\delta(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G) + \delta(L)$.

The relationship between the connectivity and edge connectivity of $G^{D,f}$ and graph invariants of *G* have been studied in [3,9].

Theorem 1.7. (Balbuena, Garcia-Vazquez and X. Marcote [3]) If *G* and *L* are two connected graphs, then for any orientation *D* of *L* and any $f : A(D) \mapsto S_n$,

$$\begin{split} \min\{|V(G)|\kappa(L), (\delta(L)+1)\kappa(G), \delta(G)+\delta(L)\} \\ &\leq \kappa(G^{L,f}) \leq \delta(G)+\delta(L). \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.8. (*Li*, *Li* and *Li* [9]) If *G* is a connected graph with n = |V(G)| and *L* is a tree with m = |V(L)|, then for any orientation *D* of *L* and any $f : A(D) \mapsto S_n$,

 $\min\{m\kappa'(G), \delta(G)+1\} \le \kappa'(G^{L,f}) \le \delta(G)+1.$

The current research is motivated by the theorems listed above. The purpose of this note is to extend Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to the generalized products of graphs, as recalled in Definition 1.4. Our main results are presented below.

Theorem 1.9. Let *G* and *L* be two connected graphs with |V(G)| = n and |V(L)| = m, and for any orientation *D* of *L*, $f : A(D) \mapsto S_n$ be an arbitrary mapping. Each of the following holds.

(i) If $\kappa(G) = \delta(G)$ and $\kappa(L) = \delta(L)$, then $\kappa(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G^{L,f})$. (ii) If $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$ and $\kappa'(L) = \delta(L)$, then $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G^{L,f})$. (iii) Suppose that $\kappa'(L) = \delta(L)$. Then for any $f : A(D) \mapsto S_n$ and any orientation D of L, $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G^{L,f})$ if and only if $m\kappa'(G) \ge \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)$.

Theorem 1.10. Let *G* and *L* be two connected graphs with |V(G)| = n and |V(L)| = m, and for any orientation *D* of *L*, $f : A(D) \mapsto S_n$ be an arbitrary mapping. Each of the following holds.

(i) If $\kappa(G) = \overline{\delta}(G)$ and $\kappa(L) = \overline{\delta}(L)$, then $\kappa(G^{L,f}) = \overline{\delta}(G^{L,f})$. (ii) If $\kappa'(G) = \overline{\delta}(G)$ and $\kappa'(L) = \overline{\delta}(L)$, then $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = \overline{\delta}(G^{L,f})$.

Note that Theorem 1.9 and Theorem 1.10 present a model on constructing large network: for a graph *L* with property *P*, if a graph *G* satisfies property *P*, then $G^{L,f}$ satisfies property *P* also. That is, $G^{L,f}$ inherits the property *P*.

The proofs of the main theorems are presented in the next section.

2. Proof of the main results

Let *G* and *L* be two connected graphs with n = |V(G)|and m = |V(L)|. Throughout this section, we shall use the notation in Definition 1.4. In particular, $G_1, G_2, ..., G_m$ are vertex-disjoint copies of *G* such that for each *j* with $1 \le j \le m$, $V(G_j) = \{v_1^j, v_2^j, \dots, v_n^j\}$. We use D(L) to denote an orientation of *L*. For two vertices $x, y \in V(L)$, an (x, y)-path is a path of *L* with end vertices *x* and *y*, and an (x, y)-dipath is a directed path from *x* to *y* in D(L).

We first introduce some notations to be used in our arguments.

Let $P = u_{i_0}e_{i_1}u_{i_1}e_{i_2}u_{i_2}\cdots u_{i_{k-1}}e_{i_k}u_{i_k}$ be a (u_{i_0}, u_{i_k}) -path of *L*. By Observation 1.5, we may assume that D(L) is taken so that, *P* is a (u_{i_0}, u_{i_k}) -dipath, and so for each $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $e_{i_t} = (u_{i_{t-1}}, u_{i_t})$. Let $\alpha_t = f(e_{i_t})$. For any $v \in V(G_{i_0})$, define

$$f^{1}(v) = f(v), \text{ and for } k > 1, f^{k}(v) = f(f^{k-1}(v)),$$

and $f_{P}(v) = f^{k}(v) \in V(G_{i_{k}}).$ (1)

We use $F_P(v)$ to denote the path $vf(v)f^2(v)\cdots f^k(v)$ in $G^{L,f}$. If $X \subset V(G_{i_0})$, then define $f_P(X) = \{f_P(x) : x \in X\}$. By Observation 1.5, if *P* is a (u_i, u_j) -path of *L*, and if $X \subset V(G_i)$, then both $f_P(X) \subset V(G_j)$ and the (undirected) path $F_P(v)$ are well defined, which are independent of the orientation of *L*. Let $F_P(X)$ denote the subgraph of $G^{L,f}$, consisting of the |X| vertex disjoint paths $\{F_P(v) : v \in X\}$.

Observation 2.1. Let P be a (u_i, u_j) -path in L and let $X \subset V(G_i)$. For any $E' \subset E(G^{L,f})$ and $S \subset V(G^{L,f})$, if X and $f_P(X)$ are in distinct components of $G^{L,f} - E'$ (or of $G^{L,f} - S$, respectively), then $|E'| \ge |X|$ (or $|S| \ge |X|$, respectively).

We first prove an auxiliary result, stated as Theorem 2.2 below, which extends Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 2.1(ii) of [8].

Theorem 2.2. Let *G* and *L* be two connected graphs with n = |V(G)| and m = |V(L)|. For any mapping $f : E(L) \mapsto S_n$, each of the following holds.

 $\begin{aligned} &(i)\min\{|V(L)|\kappa'(G),\delta(G)+\kappa'(L)\} \le \kappa'(G^{L,f}) \le \delta(G)+\delta(L).\\ &(ii)\min\{|V(L)|\kappa'(G),\delta(G)+\kappa'(L)\} \le \kappa'(G^{L,f}) \le \overline{\kappa'}(G^{L,f}) \le \overline{\delta}(G)+\overline{\delta}(L). \end{aligned}$

Proof. (i) By Lemma 1.6, we have $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) \leq \delta(G^{L,f}) \leq \delta(G) + \delta(L)$. It suffices to prove the lower bound of $\kappa'(G^{L,f})$ in (i). Let $E' \subset E(G^{L,f})$ be an edge-cut of $G^{L,f}$ satisfying $|E'| = \kappa'(G^{L,f})$. For each *i* with $1 \leq i \leq m$, define $E_i = E' \cap E(G_i)$.

If for every *i* with $1 \le i \le m$, $G_i - E_i$ is not connected, then each E_i is an edge-cut of G_i , and so $|E'| = \sum_{i=1}^m |E_i| \ge m\kappa'(G)$.

If for every *i* with $1 \le i \le m$, $G_i - E_i$ is connected. Suppose $G_i - E_i$ and $G_j - E_j$ are in distinct components of $G^{L,f} - E'$. Since *L* is connected, $|E'| \ge \kappa'(L)|V(G)|$. Since $\delta(G) \le |V(G)| - 1 \le (|V(G)| - 1)\kappa'(L)$, it follows that $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = |E'| \ge \kappa'(L)|V(G)| \ge \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)$.

Hence we assume that for some distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, E_i is an edge-cut of G_i but $G_j - E_j$ is connected. Let $X, Y \subset V(G_i)$ denote the vertex subsets such that $G_i[X]$ and $G_i[Y]$ are the components of $G_i - E_i$, respectively.

Let $t = \kappa'(L)$. Then there are t edge-disjoint (u_i, u_j) paths, denoted P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t in L. Hence both $\bigcup_{s=1}^t f_{P_s}(X)$ and $\bigcup_{s=1}^t f_{P_s}(Y)$ are in $V(G_j - E_j)$. Since $G_j - E_j$ is connected, either X and $\bigcup_{s=1}^t f_{P_s}(X)$ are separated by $E' - E_i$, or Y and $\bigcup_{s=1}^{t} f_{P_s}(Y)$ are separated by $E' - E_i$. In either case, $|E'| \ge \min\{|E_i| + t|X|, |E_i| + t|Y|\}$. By Theorem 1.1(iii), $|E_i| + |X| \ge U(G) = \delta(G) + 1$. As $t \ge 1$, we have $(t-1)(|X| - 1) \ge 0$, and so

$$|E_i| + t|X| = (E_i| + |X| + (t-1)(|X| - 1) + (t-1)$$

$$\geq \delta(G) + 1 + (t-1) = \delta(G) + \kappa'(L).$$

With a similar argument, we also have $|E_i| + t|Y| \ge \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)$. This justifies the lower bound of (i), and so (i) must hold.

(ii) By Theorem 2.2(i), we have $\min\{m\kappa'(G), \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)\} \le \kappa'(G^{L,f})$. It remains to show that

$$\overline{\kappa'}(G^{L,f}) \le \overline{\delta}(G) + \overline{\delta}(L).$$
(2)

Let *H* be a subgraph of $G^{L,f}$ with $\overline{\kappa'}(G^{L,f}) = \kappa'(H)$, and let $H_i = H \cap G_i$, for each *i* with $1 \le i \le m$.

In *H*, contracting each *H_i* in to a single vertex *u_i* and deleting all the resulting loops and multiple edges, we get a subgraph of *L*, denote by *L'*. We pick some *u_i* such that the degree of *u_i* as small as possible in *L'*. In *H_i*, we pick a vertex *v* such that $d_{H_i}(v) = \delta(H_i)$. Then $d_H(v) \le \delta(H_i) + \delta(L')$. Hence $\overline{\kappa'}(G^{L,f}) = \kappa'(H) \le \delta(H) \le d_H(v) \le \delta(H_i) + \delta(L') \le \overline{\delta}(G) + \overline{\delta}(L)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.9. (i) Since $\kappa(G) = \delta(G)$ and $\kappa(L) = \delta(L)$, we have $|V(G)|\kappa(L) = |V(G)|\delta(L) \ge (\delta(G) + 1)\delta(L) \ge \delta(G) + \delta(L)$ and $(\delta(L) + 1)\kappa(G) \ge \delta(L) + \kappa(G) = \delta(G) + \delta(L)$. Thus by Lemma 1.6, Theorem 1.7, $\delta(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G) + \delta(L) \le \min\{|V(G)|\kappa(L), (\delta(L) + 1)\kappa(G) \le \kappa(G^{L,f}) \le \delta(G^{L,f})$, which implies Theorem 1.9(i).

(ii) As $\kappa'(G) = \delta(G)$ and $\kappa'(L) = \delta(L)$, we have $|V(L)|\kappa'(G) = |V(L)|\delta(G) \ge (\delta(L) + 1)\delta(G) \ge \delta(G) + \delta(L)$ and $\delta(G) + \kappa'(L) = \delta(G) + \delta(L)$. Thus by Lemma 1.6 and Theorem 2.2(i), $\delta(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G) + \delta(L) \le \min\{|V(G)|\kappa'(L), (\delta(L) + 1)\kappa'(G) \le \kappa'(G^{L,f}) \le \delta(G^{L,f}),$ which implies Theorem 1.9(ii).

(iii) Suppose that $\kappa'(L) = \delta(L)$. If $m\kappa'(G) \ge \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)$, then by Theorem 2.2(i) and by $\kappa'(L) = \delta(L)$, we have $\delta(G^{L,f}) \ge \kappa'(G^{L,f}) \ge \delta(G) + \kappa'(L) = \delta(G) + \delta(L) = \delta(G^{L,f})$, and so equalities must hold everywhere above. Conversely, we assume that $m\kappa'(G) < \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)$ to show that for some $f : E(L) \mapsto S_n$, we cannot have $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G^{L,f})$. In fact, define f_0 = identity, that is, $f_0 : E(L) \mapsto S_n$ is a map such that for every $e \in E(L)$, $\alpha = f_0(e) \in S_n$ is an identity permutation, then we have $\kappa'(G^{L,f_0}) \le m\kappa'(G) < \delta(G) + \kappa'(L) = \delta(G) + \delta(L) = \delta(G^{L,f_0})$. This proves Theorem 1.9(iii). \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.10. The proof for Theorem 1.10(ii) is similar to that for Theorem 1.10(i), and so we just present the proof for Theorem 1.10(i).

Assume that $\kappa(G) = \overline{\delta}(G)$ and $\kappa(L) = \overline{\delta}(L)$. Then $\kappa(G) = \delta(G) = \overline{\delta}(G)$ and $\kappa(L) = \delta(L) = \overline{\delta}(L)$. By Theorem 1.9(i), we have

$$\overline{\delta}(G^{L,f}) \ge \delta(G^{L,f}) \ge \kappa(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G^{L,f})$$

= $\delta(G) + \delta(L) = \overline{\delta}(G) + \overline{\delta}(L).$ (3)

Let *H* be the subgraph of $G^{L,f}$ with $\delta(H) = \overline{\delta}(G^{L,f})$ and let $H_i = H \cap G_i$, $1 \le i \le m$. In *H*, contracting each H_i into a single vertex $u_i(H_i)$ is called the preimage of u_i) and deleting all the resulting loops and multiple edges, we get a subgraph of *L*, denote by L_H . Choose a vertex u_i with $d_{L_H}(u_i) = \delta(L_H)$ and let H_i be the preimage of u_i . In H_i , pick a vertex v such that $d_{H_i}(v) = \delta(H_i)$. Then we have $\overline{\delta}(G^{L,f}) = \delta(H) \le d_H(v) \le d_{L_H}(u_i) + d_{H_i}(v) = \delta(H_i) + \delta(L_H) \le \overline{\delta}(G) + \overline{\delta}(L)$. This, together with (3), implies Theorem 1.10(i). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.10. \Box

3. Sharpness of Theorem 2.2

The purpose of this section is to explain that the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 is sharp in the sense that for any nontrivial graph *G* there exists a map $f : E(L) \mapsto S_n$ such that min{ $|V(L)|\kappa'(G), \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)$ } = $\kappa'(G^{L,f})$.

Theorem 3.1. Let *G* and *L* be two nontrivial graphs with $\kappa'(L) = \delta(L)$. If $f : E(L) \mapsto S_n$ is the map such that for every $e \in E(L)$, $f(e) \in S_n$ is the identity permutation, then $\min\{|V(L)|\kappa'(G), \delta(G) + \kappa'(L)\} = \kappa'(G^{L,f})$.

Proof. If $|V(L)|\kappa'(G) \ge \delta(G) + \kappa'(L) = \delta(G) + \delta(L)$, then by Theorem 1.9(iii), $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G^{L,f}) = \delta(G) + \delta(L) = \delta(G) + \kappa'(L) = \delta(G) + \delta(L)$. Therefore assume that $|V(L)|\kappa'(G) < \delta(G) + \kappa'(L) = \delta(G) + \delta(L)$. By Theorem 2.2, $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) \ge |V(L)|\kappa'(G)$. Let *E* be a minimum edge-cut of *G*. In each copy of *G*, pick a minimum edge-cut corresponding to *E*, and let *E'* denote the union of these minimum edge-cuts. Since $f : E(L) \mapsto S_n$ is a map such that for every $e \in E(L)$, $\alpha = f(e) \in S_n$ is an identity permutation, E' is an edge-cut of $G^{L,f}$. Hence $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) \leq |E'| = |V(L)|\kappa'(G)$. Therefore, we have $\kappa'(G^{L,f}) = |V(L)|\kappa'(G)$. \Box

References

- A.J. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, American Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [2] J.C. Bermond, C. Delorme, G. Farhi, Large graphs with given degree and diameter II, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 36 (1984) 32–84.
- [3] C. Balbuena, P. Garcia-Vazquez, X. Marcote, Reliability of interconnection networks modelled by a product of graphs, Networks 48 (3) (2006) 114–120.
- [4] G. Chartrand, J. Frechen, On the chromatic number of permutation graphs, in: F. Harary (Ed.), Proof Techniques in Graph Theory, Academic Press, New York, 1969, pp. 21–24.
- [5] G. Chartrand ang, F. Harary, Planar permutation graphs, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré B (N.S.) 3 (1967) 433–438.
- [6] A.M. Hobbs, Network survivability, in: J.G. Michaels, K.H. Rosen (Eds.), Applications of Discrete Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991, pp. 332–353.
- [7] T.W. Hungerford, Algebra, Springer, New York, 1974.
- [8] H.-J. Lai, Large survivable nets and the generalized prisms, Discrete Appl. Math. 61 (1995) 181–185.
- [9] S.Y. Li, D.X. Li, X.M. Li, Survivable nets and the generalized permutation graphs, in: ICISE2009, Nanjing, China, 2009, pp. 3861–3863.
- [10] W. Mader, Minimale n-fach kantenzusammenh
 üngende Graphen, Math. Ann. 191 (1971) 21–28.
- [11] D. Matula, K-components, clusters, and slicings in graphs, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 22 (1972) 459–480.
- [12] B.L. Piazza, Edge-connected of permutation graphs, Congr. Numer. 65 (1988) 7–16.
- [13] B.L. Piazza, R.D. Ringeisen, Connectivity of generalized prisms over G, Discrete Appl. Math. 30 (1991) 229–233.