Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Note Connectivity keeping stars or double-stars in 2-connected graphs*

Yingzhi Tian^{a,*}, Jixiang Meng^a, Hong-Jian Lai^b, Liqiong Xu^c

^a College of Mathematics and System Sciences, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang 830046, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

^c School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen, Fujian 361021, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 July 2017 Received in revised form 10 October 2017 Accepted 14 October 2017 Available online 10 November 2017

Keywords: 2-Connected graphs Stars Double-stars Mader's conjecture

ABSTRACT

In Mader (2010), Mader conjectured that for every positive integer k and every finite tree T with order m, every k-connected, finite graph G with $\delta(G) \ge \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor + m - 1$ contains a subtree T' isomorphic to T such that G - V(T') is k-connected. In the same paper, Mader proved that the conjecture is true when T is a path. Diwan and Tholiya (2009) verified the conjecture when k = 1. In this paper, we will prove that Mader's conjecture is true when T is a star or double-star and k = 2.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, *graph* always means a finite, undirected graph without multiple edges and without loops. For graphtheoretical terminologies and notation not defined here, we follow [1]. For a graph *G*, the vertex set, the edge set, the minimum degree and the connectivity number of *G* are denoted by V(G), E(G), $\delta(G)$ and $\kappa(G)$, respectively. The *order* of a graph *G* is the cardinality of its vertex set, denoted by |G|. *k* and *m* always denote positive integers.

In 1972, Chartrand, Kaugars, and Lick proved the following well-known result.

Theorem 1.1 ([2]). Every k-connected graph G of minimum degree $\delta(G) \ge \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor$ has a vertex u with $\kappa(G - u) \ge k$.

Fujita and Kawarabayashi proved in [4] that every k-connected graph G with minimum degree at least $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor + 2$ has an edge e = uv such that $G - \{u, v\}$ is still k-connected. They conjectured that there are similar results for the existence of connected subgraphs of prescribed order $m \ge 3$ keeping the connectivity.

Conjecture 1 ([4]). For all positive integers k, m, there is a (least) non-negative integer $f_k(m)$ such that every k-connected graph G with $\delta(G) \ge \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1 + f_k(m)$ contains a connected subgraph W of exact order m such that G - V(W) is still k-connected.

They also gave examples in [4] showing that $f_k(m)$ must be at least m for all positive integers k, m. In [5], Mader proved that $f_k(m)$ exists and $f_k(m) = m$ holds for all k, m.

Theorem 1.2 ([5]). Every k-connected graph G with $\delta(G) \ge \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor + m - 1$ for positive integers k, m contains a path P of order m such that G - V(P) remains k-connected.

* Corresponding author.





 $^{^{}m int}$ The research is supported by NSFC (Nos. 11401510, 11531011) and NSFXJ (No. 2015KL019).

E-mail addresses: tianyzhxj@163.com (Y. Tian), mjx@xju.edu.cn (J. Meng), hjlai@math.wvu.edu (H.-J. Lai), 200661000016@jmu.edu.cn (L. Xu).

In the same paper, Mader [5] asked whether the result is true for any other tree *T* instead of a path, and gave the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2 ([5]). For every positive integer k and every finite tree T, there is a least non-negative integer $t_k(T)$, such that every k-connected, finite graph G with $\delta(G) \ge \lfloor \frac{3}{2}k \rfloor - 1 + t_k(T)$ contains a subgraph $T' \cong T$ with $\kappa(G - V(T')) \ge k$.

Mader showed that $t_k(T)$ exists in [6].

Theorem 1.3 ([6]). Let *G* be a *k*-connected graph with $\delta(G) \ge 2(k-1+m)^2 + m - 1$ and let *T* be a tree of order *m* for positive integers *k*, *m*. Then there is a tree $T' \subseteq G$ isomorphic to *T* such that G - V(T') remains *k*-connected.

Mader further conjectured that $t_k(T) = |T|$.

Conjecture 3 ([5]). For every positive integer k and every tree T, $t_k(T) = |T|$ holds.

Theorem 1.2 showed that Conjecture 3 is true when *T* is a path. Diwan and Tholiya [3] proved that the conjecture holds when k = 1. In the next section, we will verify that Conjecture 3 is true when *T* is a star and k = 2. It is proved in the last section that Conjecture 3 is true when *T* is a double-star and k = 2.

A *block* of a graph *G* is a maximal connected subgraph of *G* that has no cut vertex. Note that any block of a connected graph of order at least two is 2-connected or isomorphic to K_2 .

For a vertex subset U of a graph G, G[U] denotes the subgraph induced by U and G-U is the subgraph induced by V(G)-U. The *neighborhood* $N_G(U)$ of U is the set of vertices in V(G) - U which are adjacent to some vertex in U. If $U = \{u\}$, we also use G - u and $N_G(u)$ for $G - \{u\}$ and $N_G(\{u\})$, respectively. The *degree* $d_G(u)$ of u is $|N_G(u)|$. If H is a subgraph of G, we often use H for V(H). For example, $N_G(H)$, $H \cap G$ and $H \cap U$ mean $N_G(V(H))$, $V(H) \cap V(G)$ and $V(H) \cap U$, respectively. If there is no confusion, we always delete the subscript, for example, d(u) for $d_G(u)$, N(u) for $N_G(u)$, N(U) for $N_G(U)$ and so on. A *tree* is a connected graph without cycles. A *star* is a tree that has exact one vertex with degree greater than one. A *double-star* is a tree that has exact two vertices with degree greater than one.

2. Connectivity keeping stars in 2-connected graphs

Theorem 2.1. Let *G* be a 2-connected graph with minimum degree $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$, where *m* is a positive integer. Then for a star *T* with order *m*, *G* contains a star *T'* isomorphic to *T* such that G - V(T') is 2-connected.

Proof. If $m \leq 3$, then *T* is a path, and the theorem holds by Theorem 1.2. Thus we assume $m \geq 4$ in the following.

Since $\delta(G) \ge m+2$, there is a star $T' \subseteq G$ with $T' \cong T$. Assume $V(T') = \{u, v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}\}$ and $E(T') = \{uv_i | 1 \le i \le m-1\}$. We say T' is a star rooted at u or with root u. Let G' = G - T'. Let B be a maximum block in G' and let l be the number of components of G' - B. If l = 0, then B = G' is 2-connected. So we may assume that $l \ge 1$. Let H_1, \dots, H_l be the components of G' - B with $|H_1| \ge \cdots \ge |H_l|$.

Take such a star T' so that

(P1) |B| is as large as possible,

 $(P2)(|H_1|, \ldots, |H_l|)$ is as large as possible in lexicographic order, subject to (P1).

We will complete the proof by a series of claims.

Claim 1. $|N(H_i) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(H_i) \cap V(T')| \ge 1$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$.

Since *B* is a block of *G'*, we have $|N(H_i) \cap B| \le 1$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$. Since *G* is 2-connected, $|N(H_i) \cap V(T')| \ge 1$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$.

Claim 2. *l* = 1.

Assume $l \ge 2$. By Claim 1, there is an edge *th* between T' and H_1 , where $t \in T'$ and $h \in H_1$. Choose a vertex $x \in H_l$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(H_l) \cap B| \le 1$ (by Claim 1), we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{t\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 1 = m$. Thus we can choose a star $T'' \cong T$ with root *x* such that $V(T') \cap (B \cup \{t\}) = \emptyset$. But then either there is a larger block than *B* in G - T'', or G - T'' - B contains a larger component than $H_1(H_1 \cup \{t\})$ is contained in a component of G - T'' - B, which contradicts to (P1) or (P2).

Claim 3. $|N(t) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(t) \cap H_1| \ge 2$ for any vertex $t \in V(T')$.

Assume $|N(t) \cap B| \ge 2$. Choose a vertex $x \in H_1$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{t\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 1 = m$. Thus we can choose a star $T'' \cong T$ with root x such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{t\}) = \emptyset$. But G - T'' has a block containing $B \cup \{t\}$ as a subset, which contradicts to (P1). Thus $|N(t) \cap B| \le 1$ holds. By $d(t) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(t) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(t) \cap H_1| = d(t) - |N(t) \cap B| - |N(t) \cap T'| \ge m + 2 - 1 - (m - 1) = 2$.

Claim 4. For any edge $t_1t_2 \in E(T')$, $|N(\{t_1, t_2\}) \cap B| \le 1$ holds.

By contradiction, assume $|N(\{t_1, t_2\}) \cap B| \ge 2$. Because $|N(t_1) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(t_2) \cap B| \le 1$, we can assume that there are two distinct vertices $b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $t_1b_1, t_2b_2 \in E(G)$. Choose a vertex $x \in H_1$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{t_1, t_2\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 2 = m - 1$. Thus we can choose a star $T'' \cong T$ with root x such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{t_1, t_2\}) = \emptyset$. But then G - T'' has a block containing $B \cup \{t_1, t_2\}$ as a subset, which contradicts to (P1).

Because $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$ and *G* is 2-connected, we have $|N(T') \cap B| \ge 1$. The following claim further shows that $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$.

Claim 5. $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$.

By contradiction, assume $|N(T') \cap B| \ge 2$. If $N(u) \cap B \ne \emptyset$, say $N(u) \cap B = \{u'\}$, then we have $N(\{v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}\}) \cap B \subseteq \{u'\}$ by Claim 4. That is, $N(T') \cap B = \{u'\}$, a contradiction. Thus $N(u) \cap B = \emptyset$. Assume, without loss of generality, that there are two distinct vertices w and w' in B such that $v_1w, v_2w' \in E(G)$. If $N(v_3) \cap B = \emptyset$ or $|N(v_3) \cap \{v_1, v_2\}| \le 1$, then we can choose a star T'' with order m and root v_3 such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{u, v_1, v_2\}) = \emptyset$. But then $B \cup \{u, v_1, v_2\}$ is contained in a block of G - T'', contradicting to (P1). Thus we assume v_3 is adjacent to a vertex y in B and is adjacent to both v_1 and v_2 . Without loss of generality, assume y is distinct from w. Then we can choose a star T'' with order m and root u such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{v_1, v_3\}) = \emptyset$. But $B \cup \{v_1, v_3\}$ is contained in a block of G - T'', contradicting to (P1). Thus $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$.

By Claim 5, $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$. Assume $N(T') \cap B = \{w\}$. Since *G* is 2-connected, we have $|N(H_1) \cap B| \ge 1$. By Claim 1, $|N(H_1) \cap B| = 1$. Assume $N(H_1) \cap B = \{z\}$. Let *P* be a shortest path from *z* to *w* going through H_1 and *T'*. Assume $P := p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{q-1} p_q$, where $p_1 = z$, $p_q = w$ and $p_i \in H_1 \cup T'$ for each $i \in \{2, \ldots, q-1\}$. Since *P* is a shortest path, $|N(p_i) \cap P| = 2$ for each $2 \le i \le q-1$. By $N(T') \cap B = \{w\}$ and $N(H_1) \cap B = \{z\}$, $N(p_i) \cap B \subseteq \{w, z\} \subseteq V(P)$ for each $2 \le i \le q-1$. Thus $|N(p_i) \cap (B \cup P)| = 2$ and $|N(p_i) \cap (V(G) \setminus (B \cup P))| \ge m$ for each $2 \le i \le q-1$. This implies $G - (B \cup P)$ is not empty. For any vertex *x* in $G - (B \cup P)$, we have $|N(x) \cap P| \le 3$. For otherwise, we can find a path *P'* containing *x* from *z* to *w* going through H_1 and *T'* shorter than *P*, a contradiction. By $\delta(G) \ge m+2$, $|N(x) \cap (G - (B \cup P))| \ge m+2-3 = m-1$. Then we can find a star $T'' \cong T$ with root *x* such that $T'' \cap (B \cup P) = \emptyset$. But then $B \cup P$ is contained in a block of G - T'', a contradiction. The proof is thus complete. \Box

3. Connectivity keeping double-stars in 2-connected graphs

Lemma 3.1. Let *G* be a graph and *T* be a double-star with order *m*. If there is an edge $e = uv \in E(G)$ such that $|N(u) \setminus v| \ge \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$, $|N(v) \setminus u| \ge m - 3$ and $|(N(u) \cup N(v)) \setminus \{u, v\}| \ge m - 2$, then there is a double-star $T' \subseteq G$ isomorphic to *T*.

Proof. Since *T* is a double-star, we have $m \ge 4$. Assume the double-star *T* is constructed from an edge e' = u'v' by adding *r* leaves to u' and *s* leaves to v', where $1 \le r \le s$ and r + s = m - 2. Then $1 \le r \le \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$ and $\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 1 \le s \le m - 3$. Since $|N(u) \setminus v| \ge \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$, $|N(v) \setminus u| \ge m - 3$ and $|(N(u) \cup N(v)) \setminus \{u, v\}| \ge m - 2$, we can find a double-star $T' \cong T$ in *G* with center-edge e = uv, where *u* is adjacent to *r* leaves and *v* is adjacent to *s* leaves. \Box

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, with much more complicated and different details.

Theorem 3.2. Let *T* be a double-star with order *m* and *G* be a 2-connected graph with minimum degree $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$. Then *G* contains a double-star *T'* isomorphic to *T* such that G - V(T') is 2-connected.

Proof. Since *T* is a double-star, we have $m \ge 4$. If m = 4, then *T* is a path, and the theorem holds by Theorem 1.2. Thus we assume $m \ge 5$ in the following.

Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$, there is a double-star $T' \subseteq G$ with $T' \cong T$. Assume $V(T') = \{u, v, u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ and $E(T') = \{uv\} \cup \{uu_i | 1 \le i \le r\} \cup \{vv_j | 1 \le j \le s\}$, where $1 \le r \le s$ and r + s = m - 2. We say T' is a double-star with center-edge uv. Let G' = G - T'. Let B be a maximum block in G' and let l be the number of components of G' - B. If l = 0, then B = G' is 2-connected. So we may assume that $l \ge 1$. Let H_1, \dots, H_l be the components of G' - B with $|H_1| \ge \dots \ge |H_l|$.

Take such a double-star T' so that

(P1) |B| is as large as possible,

 $(P2)(|H_1|, \ldots, |H_l|)$ is as large as possible in lexicographic order, subject to (P1).

We will complete the proof by a series of claims.

Claim 1. $|N(H_i) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(H_i) \cap T'| \ge 1$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$.

Since *B* is a block of *G'*, we have $|N(H_i) \cap B| \le 1$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$. Since *G* is 2-connected, $|N(H_i) \cap T'| \ge 1$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$.

Claim 2. $|H_i| \ge 2$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., l\}$.

This claim holds because $|N(h_i) \cap H_i| = d(h_i) - |N(h_i) \cap T'| - |N(h_i) \cap B| \ge m + 2 - m - 1 = 1$ for any vertex $h_i \in H_i$, where $1 \le i \le l$.

Claim 3. l = 1.

Assume $l \ge 2$. By Claim 1, there is an edge *th* between T' and H_1 , where $t \in T'$ and $h \in H_1$. By Claim 2, we can choose an edge $xy \in E(H_l)$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(H_l) \cap B| \le 1$ (by Claim 1), we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{y, t\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 2 = m - 1$ and $|N(y) \setminus (B \cup \{x, t\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 2 = m - 1$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we can choose a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge xy such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{t\}) = \emptyset$. But then either there is a larger block than B in G - T'', or G - T'' - B contains a larger component than $H_1(H_1 \cup \{t\})$ is contained in a component of G - T'' - B, which contradicts to (P1) or (P2).

Claim 4. $|N(t) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(t) \cap H_1| \ge 2$ for any vertex $t \in V(T')$.

Assume $|N(t) \cap B| \ge 2$. Choose an edge $xy \in E(H_1)$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{y, t\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 2 = m - 1$ and $|N(y) \setminus (B \cup \{x, t\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 2 = m - 1$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we can choose a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge xy such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{t\}) = \emptyset$. But then $B \cup \{t\}$ is contained in a block of G - T'', which contradicts to (P1). Thus $|N(t) \cap B| \le 1$ holds for any vertex $t \in V(T')$. By $d(t) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(t) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(t) \cap H_1| = d(t) - |N(t) \cap B| - |N(t) \cap T'| \ge m + 2 - 1 - (m - 1) = 2$.

Claim 5. For any edge $t_1t_2 \in E(T')$, $|N(\{t_1, t_2\}) \cap B| \le 1$ holds.

By contradiction, assume $|N({t_1, t_2}) \cap B| \ge 2$. Because $|N(t_1) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(t_2) \cap B| \le 1$, we can assume that there are two distinct vertices $b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $t_1b_1, t_2b_2 \in E(G)$. Choose an edge $xy \in E(H_1)$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m+2$ and $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{y, t_1, t_2\})| \ge m+2-1-3 = m-2$ and $|N(y) \setminus (B \cup \{x, t_1, t_2\})| \ge m+2-1-3 = m-2$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we can choose a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge xy such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{t_1, t_2\}) = \emptyset$. But then G - T'' has a block containing $B \cup \{t_1, t_2\}$ as a subset, which contradicts to (P1).

Claim 6. For any 3-path $t_1t_2t_3$ in T', $|N(\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}) \cap B| \le 1$ holds.

By contradiction, assume $|N({t_1, t_2, t_3}) \cap B| \ge 2$. Then we have $|N(t_2) \cap B| = 0$. For otherwise, if $|N(t_2) \cap B| = 1$, then we have $|N({t_1, t_2, t_3}) \cap B| \le 1$ by $|N({t_1, t_2}) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N({t_2, t_3}) \cap B| \le 1$, a contradiction. Because $|N(t_1) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(t_3) \cap B| \le 1$, we can assume that there are two distinct vertices $b_1, b_3 \in B$ such that $t_1b_1, t_3b_3 \in E(G)$. Choose any edge $xy \in E(H_1)$. Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$, we have $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup \{y, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 4 = m - 3 > \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$ (by $m \ge 5$) and $|N(y) \setminus (B \cup \{x, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 4 = m - 3$.

If $|N(x)\setminus(B\cup\{y, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| > m-3$ or $|N(y)\setminus(B\cup\{x, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| > m-3$, then by Lemma 3.1, we can choose a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge *xy* such that $V(T'') \cap (B \cup \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}) = \emptyset$. But then G - T'' has a block containing $B \cup \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ as a subset, which contradicts to (P1). Thus we assume $|N(x)\setminus(B\cup\{y, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| = m-3$ and $|N(y)\setminus(B\cup\{x, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| = m-3$, which imply $|N(x) \cap B| = 1$ and $|N(y) \cap B| = 1$. Since $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$, we can assume $N(x) \cap B = N(y) \cap B = \{z\}$. Without loss of generality, assume $z \ne b_1$.

If $N(x) \setminus y \neq N(y) \setminus x$, then $|(N(x) \cup N(y)) \setminus (B \cup \{x, y, t_1, t_2, t_3\})| \ge m-2$. So we can choose a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with centeredge *xy* disjoint from $B \cup \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$. But then G - T'' contains a larger block than *B*, a contradiction. Thus $N(x) \setminus y = N(y) \setminus x$. Because we choose the edge *xy* in H_1 arbitrarily, we conclude that H_1 is a complete graph and each vertex not in H_1 is adjacent to all vertices in H_1 if it is adjacent to one vertex in H_1 . In particular, every vertex *t* in *T'* is adjacent to all vertices in H_1 by Claim 4 and the vertex *z* in *B* is adjacent to all vertices in H_1 .

Let t_4h_4 be an edge of graph G, where $t_4 \in V(T') \setminus \{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ and $h_4 \in V(H_1)$. Let h_1 be a vertex in H_1 distinct from h_4 . Then $t_1h_1, h_1z \in E(G)$. Thus we can choose a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge t_4h_4 disjoint from $B \cup \{t_1, h_1\}$. But then $B \cup \{t_1, h_1\}$ is contained in a block of G - T'', contradicting to (P1).

Because $|N(H_1) \cap B| \le 1$ and *G* is 2-connected, we have $|N(T') \cap B| \ge 1$. The following claim further shows that $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$.

Claim 7. $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$.

By contradiction, assume $|N(T') \cap B| \ge 2$. If $N(u) \cap B \ne \emptyset$, say $N(u) \cap B = \{u'\}$, then we have $N(\{u_1, \ldots, u_r, v\}) \cap B \subseteq \{u'\}$ by Claim 5 and $N(\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}) \cap B \subseteq \{u'\}$ by Claim 6. That is, $N(T') \cap B = \{u'\}$, a contradiction. Thus $N(u) \cap B = \emptyset$. Similarly, we have $N(v) \cap B = \emptyset$. Since $|N(\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}) \cap B| \le 1$ and $|N(\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}) \cap B| \le 1$ (by Claim 6), we have $|N(T') \cap B| = 2$. Assume, without loss of generality, that there are two distinct vertices w and w' in B such that u_1w , $v_1w' \in E(G)$.

We first show that any vertex x in $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r, v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \setminus \{u_1, v_1\}$ has no neighbors in *B*. By contradiction, assume there is a vertex in $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r, v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \setminus \{u_1, v_1\}$, say v_j for some $j \in \{2, \ldots, s\}$ (the case u_i for some $i \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$ can be proved similarly), such that $N(v_j) \cap B = \{w'\}$. If v_j is adjacent to u (or u_1), then for any edge vv' (v' is a neighbor of v in H_1), we have $|N(v) \setminus (B \cup \{u, u_1, v_j, v'\})| \ge m + 2 - 4 = m - 2$ (or $|N(v) \setminus (B \cup \{u_1, v_j, v'\})| \ge m + 2 - 3 = m - 1$) and $|N(v') \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_j\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 4 = m - 3$ (or $|N(v') \setminus (B \cup \{v, u_1, v_j\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 3 = m - 2$). By Lemma 3.1, we can find a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge vv' such that T'' is disjoint from $B \cup \{u, u_1, v_j\}$ (or $B \cup \{u_1, v_j\}$). But then G - T'' contains a larger block than *B*, a contradiction. Thus neither *u* nor u_1 is adjacent to v_j . Choose a neighbor v'_j of v_j in H_1 . Since $|N(v_j) \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1, v_j\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 3 = m - 2$ and $|N(v'_j) \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1, v_j\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 5 = m - 4 \ge \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$ (by $m \ge 5$), we can find a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge $v_j v'_j$ such that T'' is disjoint from $B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1\}$. But then G - T'' contains a larger block than *B*, a contradiction. Thus we have $N(\{u_1, \ldots, u_r, v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \setminus \{u_1, v_1\} \cap B = \emptyset$.

Let $v_2v'_2 \in E(G)$, where v'_2 is a neighbor of v_2 in H_1 . Since $\delta(G) \ge m + 2$ and $N(v_2) \cap B = \emptyset$, we have $|N(v_2) \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1, v'_2\})| \ge m + 2 - 5 = m - 3$ and $|N(v'_2) \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1, v_2\})| \ge m + 2 - 1 - 5 = m - 4 \ge \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$ (by $m \ge 5$). If $|N(v_2) \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1, v'_2\})| \ge m - 2$, then, by Lemma 3.1, we can find a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge $v_2v'_2$ such that T'' avoids $B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1\}$. But then G - T'' contains a larger block than B, a contradiction. Thus assume $|N(v_2) \setminus (B \cup \{u, v, u_1, v_1, v'_2\})| = m - 3$, which implies v_2 is adjacent to both u_1 and v_1 . For the edge uv, we can verify that $|N(u) \setminus (B \cup \{v, u_1, v_1, v'_2\})| \ge m + 2 - 4 = m - 2$ and $|N(v) \setminus (B \cup \{u, u_1, v_1, v_2\})| \ge m + 2 - 4 = m - 2$. By Lemma 3.1, we can find a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge uv such that T'' avoids $B \cup \{u_1, v_1, v_2\}$. But then $B \cup \{u_1, v_1, v_2\}$ is contained in a block of G - T'', contradicting to (P1). Thus Claim 7 holds.

By Claim 7, $|N(T') \cap B| = 1$. Assume $N(T') \cap B = \{w\}$. Since *G* is 2-connected, we have $|N(H_1) \cap B| = 1$ by Claim 1. Let $N(H_1) \cap B = \{z\}$. Let *P* be a shortest path from *z* to *w* going through H_1 and *T''*. Assume $P := p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{q-1} p_q$, where $p_1 = z$, $p_q = w$ and $p_i \in H_1 \cup T'$ for each $i \in \{2, ..., q-1\}$. Since *P* is a shortest path, $N(p_i) \cap P = \{p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}\}$ for $2 \le i \le q-1$. Because $\delta(G) \ge m+2$ and $N(p_i) \cap B \subseteq \{w, z\} \subseteq P$ for each $2 \le i \le q-1$, we know p_i has at least *m* neighbors not in $B \cup P$, that is, $G - (B \cup P)$ is not empty. For any vertex *x* in $G - (B \cup P)$, we have $|N(x) \cap P| \le 3$. For otherwise, we can find a path *P'* containing *x* from *z* to *w* going through H_1 and *T''* shorter than *P*, a contradiction. By $\delta(G) \ge m+2$, $|N(x) \cap (G - (B \cup P))| \ge m+2-3 = m-1$. Choose an edge *xy* in $G - (B \cup P)$. Since $|N(x) \setminus (B \cup P \cup \{y\})| \ge m+2-4 = m-2$ and $|N(y) \setminus (B \cup P \cup \{x\})| \ge m+2-4 = m-2$, we can find a double-star $T'' \cong T$ with center-edge *xy* such that $T'' \cap (B \cup P) = \emptyset$. But then $B \cup P$ is contained in a block of G - T'', a contradiction. The proof is thus complete. \Box

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions which helped us a lot in improving the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, in: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 244, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [2] G. Chartrand, A. Kaigars, D.R. Lick, Critically *n*-connected graphs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 32 (1972) 63–68.
- [3] A.A. Diwan, N.P. Tholiya, Non-separating trees in connected graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 5235–5237.
- [4] S. Fujita, K. Kawarabayashi, Connectivity keeping edges in graphs with large minimum degree, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 98 (2008) 805–811.
- [5] W. Mader, Connectivity keeping paths in k-connected graphs, J. Graph Theory 65 (2010) 61–69.
- [6] W. Mader, Connectivity keeping trees in k-connected graphs, J. Graph Theory 69 (2012) 324–329.