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#### Abstract

A sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is multigraphic if there is a multigraph $G$ with degree sequence $d$, and such a graph $G$ is called a realization of $d$. In this paper, we prove that a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ has a realization with a spanning eulerian subgraph if and only if either $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 2$ and $d_{n} \geq 2$, and that $d$ has a realization $G$ such that $L(G)$ is hamiltonian if and only if either $d_{1} \geq n-1$, or $\sum_{d_{i}=1} d_{i} \leq \sum_{d_{j} \geq 2}\left(d_{j}-2\right)$. Also, we prove that, for a positive integer $k, d$ has a realization with $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if either both $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 2$ and both $d_{n} \geq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2 k(n-1)$.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper studies finite and undirected graphs without loops, but multiple edges are allowed. When we say "graph" in this paper, it always means "multigraph", unless otherwise stated. Undefined terms can be found in [1]. In particular, for a graph $G, L(G)$ denotes its line graph. Let $X$ be a set of vertices, $G-X$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting $X$, and if $X=\{v\}$, we often use $G-v$ for $G-\{v\}$. Let $S$ be a set of edges, $G-S$ and $G+S$ denote the graphs obtain from $G$ by deleting $S$ and adding $S$, respectively. Particularly if $S=\{e\}$, we often use $G-e$ for $G-\{e\}$ and $G+e$ for $G+\{e\}$. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is called a pendent vertex if $d(v)=1$. Let $D_{1}(G)$ denote the set of all pendent vertices of $G$. An edge $e \in E(G)$ is called a pendent edge if one of its ends is a pendent vertex. A path in a graph $G$ is called a pendent path if one end is a pendent vertex, all internal vertices have degree 2 and the other end has degree more than 2 . If $v \in V(G)$, then $N_{G}(v)=\{u \in V(G): u v \in E(G)\}$; and if $T \subseteq V(G)$, then $N_{G}(T)=\{u \in V(G) \backslash T: u v \in E(G)$ and $v \in T\}$. When the graph $G$ is understood in the context, we may drop the subscript $G$.

A circuit is a connected 2-regular graph. The notation $t K_{2}$ is defined to be the graph with 2 vertices and $t$ multiple edges. In this paper, $2 K_{2}$ is considered as a circuit, which is also denoted as $C_{2}$. An even subgraph of $G$ is a spanning eulerian subgraph of $G$ if it is connected and spanning. A graph $G$ is supereulerian if $G$ contains a spanning eulerian subgraph.

If a graph $G$ has vertices $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}$, the sequence $\left(d\left(v_{1}\right), d\left(v_{2}\right), \ldots, d\left(v_{n}\right)\right)$ is called a degree sequence of $G$. A sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is graphic if there is a simple graph $G$ with degree sequence $d$, and it is multigraphic if there is a multigraph $G$ with degree sequence $d$. In either case, such a graph $G$ is called a realization of $d$, or a $d$-realization. A multigraphic degree sequence $d$ is line-hamiltonian if $d$ has a realization $G$ such that $L(G)$ is hamiltonian, and $d$ is supereulerian if it has a realization with a spanning eulerian subgraph.

[^0]Hakimi [2] gave a characterization for multigraphic degree sequences as follows.
Theorem 1.1 (Hakimi, Theorem 1 in [2]). If $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a nonincreasing sequence with $n \geq 2$ and $d_{i}$ nonnegative integers for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then it is a multigraphic sequence if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$ is even and $d_{1} \leq d_{2}+\cdots+d_{n}$.

Boesch and Harary presented in [3] the following theorem which is due to Butler.
Theorem 1.2 (Butler (Boesch and Harary, Theorem 5 in [3])). Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing sequence with $n \geq 2$ and $d_{i}$ nonnegative integers for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $j$ be an index with $2 \leq j \leq n$. Then the sequence $\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ is multigraphic if and only if the sequence $\left\{d_{1}-1, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{j-1}, d_{j}-1, d_{j+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right\}$ is multigraphic.

The following characterizations of supereulerian degree sequences, line-hamiltonian degree sequences, and the degree sequences with realization having $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees have been obtained for simple graphs.

Theorem 1.3 (Fan et al. [4]). Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing graphic sequence. Then $d$ has a supereulerian realization if and only if either $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 3$ and $d_{n} \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.4 (Fan et al. [4]). Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing graphic sequence with $n \geq 3$. The following are equivalent.
(i) $d$ is line-hamiltonian.
(ii) either $d_{1}=n-1$, or $\sum_{d_{i}=1} d_{i} \leq \sum_{d_{j} \geq 2}\left(d_{j}-2\right)$.
(iii) $d$ has a realization $G$ such that $G-D_{1}(G)$ is supereulerian.

Theorem 1.5 (Lai et al. [5]). A nonincreasing graphic sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ has a realization with $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if either $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 2$ and both of the following hold:
(i) $d_{n} \geq k$.
(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2 k(n-1)$.

In this paper, we investigate multigraphic sequences and prove the multigraphic versions for Theorems $1.3-1.5$, as follows.

Theorem 1.6. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence. Then $d$ has a supereulerian realization if and only if either $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 2$ and $d_{n} \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.7. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence with $n \geq 3$. Then the following are equivalent.
(i) $d$ is line-hamiltonian.
(ii) either $d_{1} \geq n-1$, or $\sum_{d_{i}=1} d_{i} \leq \sum_{d_{j} \geq 2}\left(d_{j}-2\right)$.
(iii) d has a realization $G$ such that $G-D_{1}(G)$ is supereulerian.

Theorem 1.8. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence. Then $d$ has a realization $G$ with $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees if and only if either $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 2$ and both of the following hold:
(i) $d_{n} \geq k$.
(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2 k(n-1)$.

In Sections 2-4, we present proofs for Theorems 1.6-1.8, respectively.

## 2. The Proof of Theorem 1.6

Proof of Theorem 1.6. If a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ has a supereulerian realization, then we must have $d_{n} \geq 2$ as every supereulerian graph is 2-edge-connected for $n \geq 2$.

We prove the sufficiency by induction on $m=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n \geq 2$. If $n=2$ and $d=(2,2)$, then $m=4$ and $2 K_{2}$ is a supereulerian realization of $d$.

Suppose that the theorem holds for all such multigraphic sequences with smaller value of $m$. We have the following cases.
Case 1: $d_{1}=d_{2}=2$. Then $d=(2, \ldots, 2)$. Therefore, $C_{n}$ is a supereulerian realization of $d$ (when $n=2, C_{n}$ is defined to be $2 K_{2}$ ).
Case 2: $d_{1}>2$ and $d_{2}=2$. Then $d=\left(d_{1}, 2, \ldots, 2\right)$. By Theorem 1.1, $d_{1}$ must be even and so $d_{1} \geq 4$. Since $d_{1} \leq d_{2}+\cdots+d_{n}$, we have $n \geq 3$. Suppose $d_{1}=2 k$ with $k \geq 2$. Let $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and circuit $C=v_{1} v_{k+1} v_{k+2} \cdots v_{n} v_{1}$. And let $E=\bigcup_{i=2}^{k}\left\{v_{1} v_{i}, v_{i} v_{1}\right\} \bigcup E(C)$. Then $G=(V, E)$ is a supereulerian realization of $d$.
Case 3: $d_{1} \geq d_{2} \geq 3$. By Theorem 1.2, $\left(d_{1}-1, d_{2}-1, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is multigraphic. Since $d_{1}-1 \geq d_{2}-1 \geq 2$, by induction, there is a supereulerian realization, say $G^{\prime}$, of $\left(d_{1}-1, d_{2}-1, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$. By adding an edge $v_{1} v_{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$, we obtain a supereulerian realization of $d$.

## 3. The Proof of Theorem 1.7

We need a theorem, which is due to Harary and Nash-Williams. The theorem shows the relationship between hamiltonian circuits in the line graph $L(G)$ and eulerian subgraph in $G$, and it is also true for multigraphs. A subgraph $H$ of $G$ is dominating if $E(G-V(H))=\emptyset$.

Theorem 3.1 (Harary and Nash-Williams, [6]). Let $G$ be a graph with $|E(G)| \geq 3$. Then $L(G)$ is hamiltonian if and only if $G$ has a dominating eulerian subgraph.
Proof of Theorem 1.7. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $G$ be a realization of $d$ such that $L(G)$ is hamiltonian. By Theorem 3.1, $G$ has a dominating eulerian subgraph $H$. If $d_{1} \geq n-1$, then we are done. Suppose that $d_{1} \leq n-2$. Then $|V(H)| \geq 2$. For any $v_{i}$ with $d\left(v_{i}\right)=1$, $v_{i}$ must be adjacent to a vertex $v_{j}$ in $H$ and so $d_{G-E(H)}\left(v_{j}\right)$ is no less than the number of degree 1 vertices adjacent to $v_{j}$. Furthermore, since $H$ is eulerian and nontrivial, $d_{H}\left(v_{j}\right) \geq 2$ and so $\sum_{d_{i}=1} d_{i} \leq \sum_{d_{j} \geq 2}\left(d_{j}-2\right)$ holds.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Suppose that $d$ is a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence satisfying (ii). If there exists a $d$-realization $G$ that is a simple graph (in this case, $d_{1}$ cannot be greater than $n-1$ ), then $d$ is also a nonincreasing graphic sequence. By Theorem 1.4, (iii) must hold. Hence, we may assume that every $d$-realization has multiple edges. If $d_{n} \geq 2$, then by Theorem $1.6, d$ has a supereulerian realization. So we also assume that $d_{n}=1$. We will show that there is a $d$-realization $G$ such that $\delta\left(G-D_{1}(G)\right) \geq 2$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that for each d-realization $G, \delta\left(G-D_{1}(G)\right)<2$. As $G$ contains multiple edges, $E\left(G-D_{1}(G)\right)$ is not empty. Let $S=N\left(D_{1}(G)\right)$. Then there exists $s \in S,\left|N_{G-D_{1}(G)}(s)\right|=1$. Let $P(G)=\left\{s \in S:\left|N_{G-D_{1}(G)}(s)\right|=1\right\}$ and choose $G$ to be a graph such that $|P(G)|$ is minimized. Let $x \in P(G)$ and $d_{G}(x)=d_{t}$. Then $x$ is not incident with multiple edges.

Since $d_{G}(x)=d_{t}$ and $\left|N_{G-D_{1}(G)}(x)\right|=1$, there must be $d_{t}-1$ pendent edges incident with vertex $x$ in $G$. We delete these $d_{t}-1$ pendent edges of $x$, and denote the resulting graph by $G^{\prime}$. Then there is a pendent path $P_{x}$ of $x$ in $G^{\prime}$, and let $l$ be the length and $v_{x}$ be the other end vertex. Let $G^{\prime \prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by deleting $x$ and the internal vertices of $P_{x}$. Choose a multiple edge $e \in E\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$, replace $e$ with a path of length $l+1$ and let $v_{e}$ be an internal vertex. Then add $d_{t}-2$ pendent edges to $v_{e}$, add one pendent edge to $v_{x}$ and denote the resulting graph $G_{x}$. Then $d_{G_{x}}\left(v_{e}\right)=2+d_{t}-2=d_{t}$, and $G_{x}$ is also a $d$-realization. Let $N_{1}(x)$ be the set of pendent vertices adjacent to $x$ in $G$. Then $\left|D_{1}\left(G_{x}\right)\right|=\left|\left(D_{1}(G)-N_{1}(x)\right) \cup\{x\}\right|+d_{t}-2=$ $\left|D_{1}(G)\right|-\left(d_{t}-1\right)+1+d_{t}-2=\left|D_{1}(G)\right|$ but $\left|P\left(G_{x}\right)\right|<|P(G)|$, contradicting the choice of $G$ (Note here, if $G_{x}$ does not have multiple edges, then it is contrary to the assumption that every $d$-realization has multiple edges). By Theorem 1.6, there is a $d$-realization $G$ such that $G-D_{1}(G)$ is supereulerian.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If $G$ is a realization of $d$ such that $G-D_{1}(G)$ is supereulerian, then by Theorem 3.1, $L(G)$ is hamiltonian. Thus (i) holds.

## 4. The Proof of Theorem 1.8

Let $\tau(G)$ be the maximum number of edge-disjoint spanning trees in a connected graph $G$.
Lemma 4.1. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence. If $d$ has a realization $G$ with $\tau(G) \geq k$, then either $n=1$ and $d_{1}=0$, or $n \geq 2$ and both $d_{n} \geq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2 k(n-1)$ hold.
Proof. The case when $n=1$ is trivial and so we shall assume that $n>1$. Since $G$ has $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, $2 k(|V(G)|-1) \leq 2|E(G)|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$ and each vertex has degree at least $k$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence with $n>2$. If $d$ has a realization $G$ with $\tau(G) \geq k$, then $d_{1}>k$.
Proof. Suppose not, by Lemma 4.1, $d_{i}=k$ for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $2 k(n-1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=k n$, whence $n \leq 2$, contrary to $n>2$. Thus $d_{1}>k$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence with $n>2$. If $d$ has a realization $G$ with $\tau(G) \geq k$, then $d^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}-1, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{j-1}, d_{j}+1, d_{j+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ has a realization $G^{\prime}$ with $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq k$ for any $j$ with $2 \leq j \leq n$.
Proof. Let $v_{i}$ be the vertex with degree $d_{i}$ in $G$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there must be a vertex $v_{s}$ adjacent to $v_{1}$ where $s \neq j$. If not, then all edges incident with $v_{1}$ are between $v_{1}$ and $v_{j}$, and since $G$ is connected, $d_{j}>d_{1}$, contrary to $d_{1} \geq d_{j}$. Thus there is an edge $e$ between $v_{1}$ and $v_{s}$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$ be edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G$.
Case 1: $v_{1}$ is a leaf in $T_{i}$ for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $e^{\prime}$ be a new edge between $v_{s}$ and $v_{j}$, and $G^{\prime}=G-e+e^{\prime}$. Then $G^{\prime}$ is a realization of $d^{\prime}$. If $e \notin \cup_{i=1}^{k} E\left(T_{i}\right)$, then $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{k}$ are edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G^{\prime}$. If $e \in E\left(T_{l}\right)$ where $1 \leq l \leq k$, by Corollary 4.2, $d_{1}>k$, and there must be an edge $e^{\prime \prime}$ incident with $v_{1}$ such that $e^{\prime \prime} \notin \cup_{i=1}^{k} E\left(T_{i}\right)$, then $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{l-1}, T_{l}-e+e^{\prime \prime}, T_{l+1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ are edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G^{\prime}$.
Case 2: $v_{1}$ is not a leaf in $T_{l}$ for some $l, 1 \leq l \leq k$. Then there exists $v_{t} \in V(G)$ and there exists $e_{t}=v_{1} v_{t} \in E\left(T_{l}\right)$ such that $v_{1}$ and $v_{j}$ are in one component of $T_{l}-e_{t}$ while $v_{t}$ is in the other component. Let $e_{t}^{\prime}$ be a new edge between $v_{j}$ and $v_{t}$, and $G_{t}=G-e_{t}+e_{t}^{\prime}$. Then $G_{t}$ is a $d^{\prime}$-realization, and $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{l-1}, T_{l}-e_{t}+e_{t}^{\prime}, T_{l+1}, \ldots, T_{k}$ are edge-disjoint spanning trees of $G_{t}$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence. If $d$ has a realization $G$ with $\tau(G) \geq k$, then $d^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{i-1}, d_{i}+1, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_{j-1}, d_{j}+1, d_{j+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ has a realization $G^{\prime}$ with $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq k, \forall i, j$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq n$.

Proof. Let $v_{i}, v_{j}$ be the vertices with degree $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}$ in $G$, respectively, and $e$ be a new edge between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. Let $G^{\prime}=G+e$, then $G^{\prime}$ is a $d^{\prime}$-realization with $\tau\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq k$.
Proof of Theorem 1.8. Lemma 4.1 proves the necessity. To prove the sufficiency, we prove a claim first.
Claim. Let $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ be a nonincreasing multigraphic sequence with $d_{n} \geq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2 k(n-1)$. If any nonincreasing multigraphic sequence $d^{\prime}=\left(d_{1}^{\prime}, d_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, d_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ with $d_{n}^{\prime} \geq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{\prime}=2 k(n-1)$ has a realization with $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, then $d$ has a realization with $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees.
Proof of the claim: Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}>2 k(n-1)$. Noticing that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$ is always even, we define an operation $(*)$ for $d$ as follows: $(*)$ : If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}>2 k(n-1)$ and $\exists i \geq 2$ such that $d_{i}>k$, then let $d^{(*)}=\left(d_{1}-1, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{i-1}, d_{i}-1, d_{i+1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, and reorder $d^{(*)}$ to be a nonincreasing sequence $\left(d_{1}^{(*)}, d_{2}^{(*)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(*)}\right)$.

By Theorem 1.2, $d^{(*)}$ is still a multigraphic sequence. We keep on doing operation $(*)$ for $d^{(*)}$ until $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{(*)}=2 k(n-1)$ or $d_{i}^{(*)}=k$ for each $i=2,3, \ldots, n$. For the latter case, $d^{(*)}=\left(d_{1}^{(*)}, k, k, \ldots, k\right)$ and $d_{1}^{(*)}+k(n-1) \geq 2 k(n-1)$, i.e., $d_{1}^{(*)} \geq k(n-1)$. Since $d^{(*)}=\left(d_{1}^{(*)}, k, k, \ldots, k\right)$ is still a multigraphic sequence, by Theorem $1.1, d_{1}^{(*)} \leq k(n-1)$. Thus $d_{1}^{(*)}=k(n-1)$. Hence, in both cases, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{(*)}=2 k(n-1)$, and by the assumption, $d^{(*)}$ has a realization with $k$ edgedisjoint spanning trees. By Lemma 4.4, $d$ has a realization with $k$ edge-disjoint spanning trees, which completes the proof of the claim.

By the claim, it suffices to show that any multigraphic sequence $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with $d_{n} \geq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=2 k(n-1)$ has a realization $G$ with $\tau(G) \geq k$. If $n=2$, then $t K_{2}$ is such a $d$-realization where $t=k$. If $n>2$, then by Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that $d^{0}=(k(n-1), k, k, \ldots, k)$ has such a realization. Let $k K_{1, n-1}$ be the graph with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that for each $i, 2 \leq i \leq n$, there are $k$ multiple edges between $v_{1}$ and $v_{i}$, but there are no edges between $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ for $2 \leq i<j \leq n$. Then $k K_{1, n-1}$ is a $d^{0}$-realization with $\tau\left(k K_{1, n-1}\right)=k$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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