

ON THE DIVISORS OF SECOND-ORDER RECURRENCES

PAUL A. CATLIN
Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania 15213

**A REPRINT
FROM**

THE FIBONACCI QUARTERLY

VOLUME 12

NUMBER 2

APRIL

1974

ON THE DIVISORS OF SECOND-ORDER RECURRENCES

PAUL A. CATLIN
Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

In this note, we shall give a criterion to determine whether a given prime p divides the second-order recurrence

$$A_{n+2} = PA_{n+1} - QA_n,$$

with arbitrary initial values A_0 and A_1 , and we shall give several applications. A particular case of (1) is the recurrence

$$U_{n+2} = PU_{n+1} - QU_n, \quad U_0 = 0, \quad U_1 = 1.$$

We denote by Δ the discriminant $P^2 - 4Q$ of the recurrence. The general term U_n can be denoted by

$$(a^n - b^n)/(a - b),$$

$$a = \frac{P + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}$$

$$b = \frac{P - \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}.$$

Let $k(m)$ be the integer such that m divides U_n if and only if $k(m) \mid n$. p will denote a prime dividing Q . In this paper, we shall be working in the field of integers modulo p .

2. THE CRITERION FOR DIVISIBILITY

Let X_n be the quotient $U_{n+1}/U_n \pmod{p}$: i. e., the solution X of

$$XU_n \equiv U_{n+1} \pmod{p}.$$

unless p divides U_n , in which case the value of R_n will be denoted by ∞ . (All values which have a zero divisor will be denoted ∞ .) If R_n exists and is nonzero, then

$$R_{n+1} \equiv U_{n+2}/U_{n+1} \equiv P - QR_n^{-1} \pmod{p};$$

if $p \mid R_n$ then $R_{n+1} \equiv \infty$; if $R_n \equiv \infty$ then $p \mid U_n$, so $R_{n+1} \equiv P \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 1. (R_n) is a first-order recurrence mod p and is periodic with primitive period $k(p)$.

Proof. We have already shown that (R_n) is a first-order recurrence (3). That it has primitive period $k(p)$ follows from the definition of k and the fact that $R_n \equiv 0$ if and only if $p \mid U_{n+1}$.

The following theorem gives a criterion for determining whether p is a divisor of terms of (A_n) . It is known that if a number m divides some term A_n of (1), then m divides $A_{n+tk(m)}$ for any integer t for which the subscript is nonnegative, and only those terms.

Theorem 2. (Divisibility criterion). p is a divisor of $A_{tk(p)-n}$ (for any t for which the subscript is nonnegative) if and only if

$$A_1/A_0 \equiv R_n \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. By Eq. (8) of [6].

$$Q^n A_m = U_{n+1} A_{k(p)} - U_n A_{k(p)+1},$$

where $m+n = k(p)$. Thus, $p \mid A_m$ if and only if

$$A_{k(p)+1}/A_{k(p)} \equiv R_n,$$

and it is known that

$$A_{k(p)+1}/A_{k(p)} \equiv A_1/A_0.$$

Furthermore, $p \mid A_m$ if and only if $p \mid A_{tk(p)-n}$, and the theorem follows.

3. APPLICATIONS OF THE CRITERION

It is well known that $k(p) \mid p - (\Delta/p)$. A proof is given in [4] for the Fibonacci series, and it may be easily generalized to the recurrence (2). For most recurrences, there are many primes p such that $k(p) = p - (\Delta/p)$. In the first two theorems in this section, we consider such primes.

The following result was proved in [1] and [2] for the Fibonacci series.

Theorem 3. If

$$k(p) = p + 1$$

then p divides some terms of (A_n) regardless of the initial values A_0 and A_1 , and conversely.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1 that if

$$k(p) = p + 1,$$

for any residue class c there is an n such that $c \equiv R_n \pmod{p}$. Therefore, there is such that

$$A_1/A_0 \equiv R_n \pmod{p},$$

the first part follows by the criterion of Theorem 2. If $k(p)$ is less than $p + 1$ then not every residue class is included in (R_n) , and the converse follows.

Theorem 4. p is a divisor of terms of (A_n) for any initial values A_0 and A_1 , exactly when $A_1/A_0 \equiv a$ or b , if and only if $k(p) = p - 1$.

Proof. Since

$$k(p) = p - 1,$$

$$(\Delta/p) = 1,$$

and a and b are in the field of integers modulo p and $p \nmid \Delta$. By definition,

$$R_n \equiv (a^{n+1} - b^{n+1}) / (a^n - b^n).$$

if a (or b) \pmod{p} then it follows that $a \equiv b$, whence $p \mid \Delta$, giving a contradiction. If $R_n \not\equiv a$ or b . By Theorem 2 and the fact that $R_n \equiv A_1/A_0$ for some n when

$$k(p) = p - 1$$

$$A_1/A_0 \not\equiv a \text{ or } b \pmod{p},$$

that p divides terms of (A_n) . If $k(p)$ is less than $p - 1$, then not every residue class can be included in (R_n) , whence the converse follows.

Theorem 5. If

$$A_1/A_0 \equiv a \text{ or } b \pmod{p}$$

then p divides no term of (A_n) .

Proof. If

$$A_1/A_0 \equiv a \text{ or } b$$

$$(\Delta/p) = 1$$

$$R_n \equiv a \text{ (or } b) \pmod{p}$$

then

$$(a^{n+1} - b^{n+1}) / (a^n - b^n) \equiv a \text{ (or } b)$$

so that $a \equiv b$ and $p \mid \Delta$, giving a contradiction. Thus, $R_n \not\equiv a \text{ (or } b) \equiv A_1 / A_0$, and so $p \nmid A_n$ for any n , by Theorem 2.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Hall [3] has given a different criterion for whether a prime p divides some terms of (1). Bloom [2] has studied the related question of which composite numbers (as well as which primes) are divisors of recurrences of the form (1) with $P = 1$, $Q = -1$.

Ward [5] has pointed out that the question of whether or not there are infinitely many primes for which $k(p) = p + 1$ or $p - 1$ is a generalization of Artin's conjecture that an integer not -1 or a square is a primitive root of infinitely many primes. For recurrences in which Δ is a square and a or b is 1, the question is equivalent to Artin's conjecture.

REFERENCES

1. Brother U. Alfred, "Primes which are Factors of all Fibonacci Sequences," Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 2, No. 1 (February 1964), pp. 33-38.
2. D. M. Bloom, "On Periodicity in Generalized Fibonacci Sequences," Amer. Math. Monthly, 72 (1965), pp. 856-861.
3. Marshall Hall, "Divisors of Second-Order Sequences," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1937), pp. 78-80.
4. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Third Ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1954.
5. Morgan Ward, "Prime Divisors of Second-Order Recurrences," Duke Math. J., 21 (1954) pp. 607-614.
6. Oswald Wyler, "On Second-Order Recurrences," Amer. Math. Monthly, 72 (1965), pp. 500-506.

